

seems to agree that the data provided by Defendant was not sufficient to analyze statistical significance. *See* Decl. of John Alford, Doc. 45 at ¶ 6. Rather than merely stating as such in response to the Court’s Order—as Defendant did—Plaintiff downloaded the additional data necessary from Defendant’s own website.¹ Plaintiff’s Declarations are thus not irrelevant.

Nor do the Declarations contravene the Court’s Order. While the Court ordered Plaintiff to analyze the data submitted by Defendant, at no time did the Court order that Plaintiff could not use other data to analyze Defendant’s data, or that Plaintiff could not submit additional evidence in support of Plaintiff’s motion. Plaintiff submitted highly relevant evidence to the Court: (a) the Declaration of Dr. McDonald, who analyzed the data provided, using other publicly-available data necessary to the analysis; (b) the Declaration of Mr. Morris, who explained the method by which he obtained this additional data; and (c) the Declaration of Mr. Cortés, who responded directly to the Court’s concerns raised at the November 8 hearing about whether relief in this case could actually have an impact on the outcome of the elections. This evidence is all highly relevant.

In addition, Rule 12(f) does not apply here. That rule provides that a court may “strike from a pleading . . . any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or

¹ *See* <https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/Web02-state.220747/#/>.

scandalous matter.” Defendant has not moved to strike a pleading and the rule is therefore inapplicable. *See, e.g., Sum of \$66,839.59 Filed in the Registry v. United States IRS*, 119 F. Supp. 2d 1358, 1359 n.1 (N.D. Ga. 2000) (noting that a motion to strike under Rule 12 is not the proper method for challenging an affidavit); *see also* 2 Moore’s Federal Practice § 12.37[2] (2018).

For these reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court consider the Declarations.

This 10th day of November, 2018.

DLA PIPER LLP

By: /s/ Christopher Campbell

Christopher G. Campbell
One Atlantic Center
1201 West Peachtree Street, Suite 2800
Atlanta, GA 30309-3450
(404) 736-7808
christopher.campbell@dlapiper.com

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

Robert A. Atkins
(*pro hac vice* application pending)
NY Bar No. 2210771
Farrah R. Berse
(*pro hac vice* application pending)
NY Bar No. 4129706
Makiko Hiromi
(*pro hac vice* application pending)
NY Bar No. 5376165
William E. Freeland
(*pro hac vice* application pending)

NY Bar No. 5450648
Melina M. Meneguín Layerenza
(*pro hac vice* application pending)
NY Bar No. 5559240
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6064
(212) 373-3000
ratkins@paulweiss.com
fberse@paulweiss.com
mhiromi@paulweiss.com
wfreeland@paulweiss.com
mmeneguín@paulweiss.com

BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE AT NEW YORK
UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

Myrna Pérez
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
NY Bar No. 4874095
Lawrence D. Norden
(*pro hac vice* pending)
NY Bar No. 2881464
Wendy R. Weiser
(*pro hac vice* pending)
NY Bar No. 2919595
Maximillian Feldman
(*pro hac vice* pending)
NY Bar No. 5237276
120 Broadway, Suite 1750
New York, NY 10271
(646) 292-8310
perezm@brennan.law.nyu.edu
nordenl@brennan.law.nyu.edu
weiserw@brennan.law.nyu.edu
feldmanm@brennan.law.nyu.edu

Attorneys for Plaintiff

General Information

Court	United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia; United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia
Federal Nature of Suit	Civil Rights - Voting[441]
Docket Number	1:18-cv-05102