Case 4:20-cv-00243-SHR Document 3	82 Filed 07/13/21 Page 1 of 12
BRIAN M. MCINTYRE	
COCHISE COUNTY ATTORNEY	
By: CHRISTINE J. ROBERTS Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney	
Arizona Bar No. 033718 P.O. Drawer CA	
Bisbee, AZ 85603	
(520) 432-8700 CVAttymeo@cochise.az.gov	
	ra, in her official capacity as Cochise County
IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF AF	RIZONA, TUCSON DIVISION
Kathleen Hoffard,)	No. 4:20-CV-00243-SHR
) Plaintiff,)	
v.	DEFENDANTS ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED
Cochise County, Arizona; Lisa Marra) In her official capacity as Director of)	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF
Cochise County Elections Department	(Jury Trial Demanded)
Defendants.	(Assigned to the Hon. Scott H. Rash)
Defendants Cochise County (the "Co	ounty") and Lisa Marra, in her official capacity
as Director of the Cochise County Elec	ctions (collectively "Defendants"), through
undersigned counsel, and in response to	o Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for
Injunctive and Declaratory Relief ("FAC") admit, deny, and allege as follows:	

	Case 4:20-cv-00243-SHR Document 32 Filed 07/13/21 Page 2 of 12
1	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
2	1. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the FAC, Defendants admit
3	that jurisdiction and venue are proper.
5	2. As to the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the FAC, Defendants admit
6	that venue is proper.
7	PARTIES
8	3. As to the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the FAC, Defendants admit the
0	allegations.
1	4. As to the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the FAC, Defendants admit the
2	allegations.
3	5. As to the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the FAC, Defendants admit that Lisa
4	Marra is the Director of Elections for Cochise County, she administers, prepares, and
.5	conducts elections within Cochise County. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in
7	Paragraph 5.
8	STATEMENT OF FACTS
9	6. As to the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the FAC, Defendants do not have
20	sufficient information at this time to form a belief about the truth of the allegations, and
21 22	so denies them at this time.
23	7. As to the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the FAC, Defendants do not have
24	sufficient information at this time to form a belief about the truth of the allegations, and
25	so denies them at this time.

8. As to the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the FAC, Defendants do not have sufficient information at this time to form a belief about the truth of the allegations, and so denies them at this time.

9. As to the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the FAC, Defendants admit the
allegations.

10. As to the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the FAC, Defendants do not have
sufficient information at this time to form a belief about the truth of the allegations, and
so denies them at this time.

11 11. As to the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the FAC, Defendants admit that a
 mid-term election was held across the United States, and in Cochise County, on November
 6, 2018. Defendants do not have sufficient information at this time to form a belief about
 the truth of the remaining allegations, and so denies them at this time.

12. As to the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the FAC, Defendants deny the
 allegation that Plaintiff was faced with a choice of being disenfranchised or struggling to
 exit her vehicle and navigate the polling location. Defendants do not have sufficient
 information at this time to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations, and
 so denies them at this time.

21

15

1

2

3

4

13. As to the allegations in Paragraph 13 of the FAC, defendants deny that the
pavement in the parking lot and the rugs in the interior of the Vote Center posed trip
hazards. Defendants do not have sufficient information at this time to form a belief about
the truth of the remaining allegations, and so denies them at this time.

1 14. As to the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the FAC, Defendants admit that 2 Plaintiff cast her ballot at a Vote Center on November 6, 2018. Defendants deny the 3 remaining allegations. 4 15. As to the allegations in Paragraph 15 of the FAC, Defendants do not have 5 sufficient information at this time to form a belief about the truth of the remaining 6 7 allegations, and so denies them at this time. 8 16. As to the allegations in Paragraph 16 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 9 allegations. 10 As to the allegations in Paragraph 17 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 17. 11 allegations. 12 13 As to the allegations in Paragraph 18 of the FAC, Defendants admit the 18. 14 allegations. 15 19. As to the allegations in Paragraph 19 of the FAC, Defendants admit the 16 allegations. 17 20. 18 As to the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the FAC, Defendants admit the 19 allegations. 20 21. As to the allegations in Paragraph 21 of the FAC, Defendants admit the 21 allegations. 22 22. As to the allegations in Paragraph 22 of the FAC, Defendants admit the 23 allegations. 24 25

Case 4:20-cv-00243-SHR Document 32 Filed 07/13/21 Page 5 of 12

1 **DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF ALLEGATIONS** 2 23. As to the allegations in Paragraph 23 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 3 allegations. 4 24. As to the allegations in Paragraph 24 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 5 allegations. 6 7 25. As to the allegations in Paragraph 25 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 8 allegations. 9 26. As to the allegations in Paragraph 26 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 10 allegations. 11 27. As to the allegations in Paragraph 27 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 12 13 allegations. 14 28. As to the allegations in Paragraph 28 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 15 allegations. 16 STATEMENT OF CLAIMS 17 COUNT 1 18 19 [Discrimination on the Basis of Disability in Violation of Title II of the Americans 20 with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12131, et seq.] 21 29. As to the allegations in Paragraph 29 of the FAC, Defendants reassert and 22 incorporate Defendants' responses to Paragraphs 1-28, above. 23 30. As to the Allegations in Paragraph 30 of the FAC, no response is required 24 25 as this is a statement of law and not a factual allegation.

1 31. As to the allegations in Paragraph 31 of the FAC, no response is required as 2 this is a statement of law and not a factual allegation. 3 32. As to the allegations in Paragraph 32 of the FAC, no response is required as 4 this is a statement of law and not a factual allegation. 5 33. As to allegations in Paragraph 33 of the FAC, no response is required as this 6 7 is a statement of law and not a factual allegation. 8 34. As to the allegations in Paragraph 34 of the FAC, no response is required as 9 this is a statement of law and not a factual allegation. 10 35. As to the allegations in Paragraph 35 of the FAC, Defendants do not have 11 sufficient information at this time to form a belief about the truth of Plaintiff's physical 12 13 impairments and limitations and whether she qualifies as an individual with a disability 14 as defined by the ADA and so, at this time denies the remaining allegations. 15 36. As to the allegations in Paragraph 36 of the FAC, Defendants admit that 16 Plaintiff is a duly qualified and registered elector in Cochise County. Defendants have 17 18 insufficient information at this time to form a belief about the truth of Plaintiff's physical 19 impairments and whether she qualifies as an individual with a disability, and so, at this 20 time, denies the remaining allegations. 21 37. As to the allegations in Paragraph 37 of the FAC, no response is required as 22 this is a statement of law and not a factual allegation. 23 38. As to allegations in Paragraph 38 of the FAC, Defendants admit the 24 25 allegations.

1	39.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 39 of the FAC, no response is required as
2	this is a state	ement of law and not a factual allegation.
3	40.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 40 of the FAC, Defendants admit it must
4	provide indi	viduals with disabilities with access to the voting process. Defendants deny
6	the remainin	g of the allegations.
7	41.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 41 of the FAC, Defendants deny the
8	allegations.	
9	42.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 42, Defendants deny the allegations.
10 11	Further, Def	endants allege that curbside voting is no longer offered in Cochise County
11	because all	of the County's seventeen (17) Vote Centers are fully ADA accessible and
13		iant, all equipment utilized at the Vote Centers are fully ADA accessible, and
14	_	s not required to offer curbside voting in this circumstance.
15	43.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 43, Defendants deny the allegations.
16	44.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 44, Defendants deny the allegations.
17 18	45.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 45, this is a conclusion of law that does
10		response and not a factual allegation. But in any event, Defendants deny the
20	-	response and not a factual anegation. But in any event, Defendants deny the
21	allegations.	
22		COUNT II
23	[Discri	mination on the Basis of Disability in Violation of Section 504 of the
24		Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794]
25		

1	46.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 46 of the FAC, Defendants reassert and
2	incorporate]	Defendants' responses to Paragraphs 1-45, above.
3	47.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 47 of the FAC, no response is required as
5	this is a state	ement of law and not a factual allegation.
6	48.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 48 of the FAC, no response is required as
7	this is a state	ement of law and not a factual allegation.
8	49.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 49 of the FAC, no response is required as
9 10	this is a state	ement of law and not a factual allegation.
11	50.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 50 of the FAC, no response is required as
12	this is a state	ement of law and not a factual allegation.
13	51.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 51 of the FAC, no response is required as
14	this is a state	ement of law and not a factual allegation.
15 16	52.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 52, Defendants have insufficient
17	information	at this time to form a belief about the truth of Plaintiff's physical impairments
18	and whether	she qualifies as an individual with a disability, and so, at this time, denies the
19	remaining al	legations.
20	53.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 53 of the FAC, Defendants admit that
21 22	Plaintiff is a	duly qualified and registered elector in Cochise County. Defendants deny
22	the remainin	g allegations.
24	54.	As to the allegations in Paragraph 54 of the FAC, Defendants admit the
25	allegations.	

1 55. As to the allegations in Paragraph 55 of the FAC, no response is required as 2 this is a statement of law and not a factual allegation. 3 56. As to the allegations in Paragraph 51 of the FAC, no response is required as 4 this is a statement of law and not a factual allegation. 5 57. As to the allegations in Paragraph 57 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 6 7 allegations. 8 58. As to the allegations in Paragraph 58 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 9 allegations. 10 59. As to the allegations in Paragraph 59, this is a conclusion of law that does 11 not require a response and not a factual allegation. But in any event, Defendants deny the 12 13 allegations. 14 **COUNT III** 15 [Discrimination on the Basis of Disability in Violation of A.R.S. § 41-1421(B)] 16 60. As to the allegations in Paragraph 60 of the FAC, Defendants reassert and 17 18 incorporate Defendants' responses to Paragraphs 1-59, above. 19 61. As to the allegations in Paragraph 61 of the FAC, no response is required as 20 this is a statement of law and not a factual allegation. 21 62. As to the allegations in Paragraph 62 of the FAC, no response is required as 22 this is a statement of law and not a factual allegation. 23 63. As to the allegations in Paragraph 63 of the FAC, Defendants deny the 24 25 allegations.

1	64. As to the allegations in Paragraph 64, Defendants have insufficient
2	information at this time to form a belief about the truth of Plaintiff's physical impairments
3	and whether she qualifies as an individual with a disability and/or a qualified individual
4	
5	with disabilities under A.R.S. § 41-1421(E), and so, at this time, denies the remaining
6	allegations
7	65. As to the allegations in Paragraph 65, Defendants deny that Plaintiff
8	requested a <i>reasonable</i> modification and denies all remaining allegations. Defendants
9 10	allege that curbside voting is not a reasonable modification in Cochise County because its
11	implementation is unduly burdensome.
12	66. As to the allegations in Paragraph 66, this is a conclusion of law that does
13	not require a response and not a factual allegation. But in any event, Defendants deny the
14	allegations.
15 16	67. As to the allegations in Paragraph 67, this is a conclusion of law that does
17	not require a response and not a factual allegation. But in any event, Defendants deny the
18	allegations.
19	RELIEF REQUESTED
20	As to Paragraphs A through G of the Relief Requested Section of the FAC,
21	
22	Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any injunctions (especially, positive
23	injunctions), declaratory judgments, orders, damages, costs, any fees, or any other relief.
24	
25	

1	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
2	1. Any allegation not specifically admitted is hereby denied.
3	2. Defendants assert that they acted lawfully at all times under both state and
5	federal law.
6	3. Defendants reserve their right to raise any other form of defense or
7	avoidance and to affirmatively assert the defenses listed in Federal Rules of Civil
8	Procedure 8(c) and 12(b) should future discovery show that any of these defenses are
9	applicable to this case.
10 11	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
12	WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiff's FAC, Defendants respectfully
13	requests that this Court enter the following relief in their favor:
14	1. An Order that Plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which relief may be
15	granted;
16	2. Dismissal of the allegations against the Defendants with prejudice;
17 18	3. An award of costs and fees, including attorneys' fees if applicable, incurred
19	in defending against Plaintiff's claims;
20	4. Trial by jury on all issues; and
21	5. Any further and additional relief that this Court deems just and appropriate
22	
23	under the circumstances.
24	
25	

	Case 4:20-cv-00243-SHR Document 32 Filed 07/13/21 Page 12 of 12
1	JURY DEMAND
2	Defendants, pursuant to F.R.C.P, Rule 38, respectfully request a trial by jury on all
3	issues.
4	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 13th day of July, 2021.
5	RESTECTIOLET SOLMITTED and 15th day of 5thy, 2021.
6	BRIAN M. MCINTYRE,
7	COCHISE COUNTY ATTORNEY
8	By: <u>/s/ Christine J. Roberts</u>
9	Christine J. Roberts Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
10	I hereby certify that on July 13, 2021,
11	I electronically transmitted the attached Document to the Clerk's Office using the
12	CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal
13 14	of Notice of Electronic Filing to those attorneys registered with CM/ECF.
14	A copy of the foregoing emailed
16	this 13th day of July, 2021, to:
17	Rose Daly-Rooney
18	rdalyrooney@azdisabilitylaw.org Maya Abela
19	<u>mabela@azdisabilitylaw.org</u> Tamaraingsey In
20	sun@azdisabilitylaw.org
21	Meaghan Kramer mkramer@azdisabilitylaw.org
22	
23	
24	
25	