### Case 1:20-cv-06516-VM Document 111 Filed 01/08/21 Page 1 of 5

# **U.S. Department of Justice**



United States Attorney Southern District of New York

86 Chambers Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor New York, New York 10007

January 8, 2021

# **VIA ECF**

Hon. Victor Marrero, United States District Judge United States District Court for the Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007-1312

Re: Jones et al. v. United States Postal Service et al., No. 20 Civ. 6516 (VM)

Dear Judge Marrero:

This Office represents the defendants in the above-referenced case. We write respectfully pursuant to the Court's September 25, 2020 Order, ECF No. 57 (the "Order"). In accordance with paragraph 6 of the Order, Defendants submit herewith:

- (1) The weekly service performance update provided by the United States Postal Service ("USPS") to Congress on January 8, 2021 (pertaining to First-Class Mail, Marketing Mail, and Periodicals) (Exhibit 1);
- (2) The report reflecting weekly national scores and service variance for Presort First-Class Mail (Overnight, 2-Day and 3-5 Day) and Single-Piece First-Class Mail (2-Day and 3-5 Day), also provided to Congress on January 8, 2021<sup>2</sup> (attached hereto as Exhibit 2);
- (3) As discussed in more detail below, a summary of all data and information collected and used by USPS Headquarters regarding USPS's handling of Election Mail at the Headquarters level and compliance with USPS policies regarding Election Mail, USPS recommended practices regarding Election Mail, and the terms of the Court's September 25, 2020 Order specifically pertaining to Election Mail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The information contained in this letter has been provided to this Office by the United States Postal Service, to be provided to the Court to comply with Defendants' obligations under the Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The first column in each category shows the overall service performance score; the Percent within +1 day, +2 days, and +3 days columns show the performance one day later, two days later, and three days later (service variances).

# Summary of Data and Information Regarding Election Mail Handling and Compliance

To date, USPS Headquarters has compiled, for USPS's internal use, three categories of data or information relating to its handling of Election Mail and compliance in the field with Election Mail policies and practices: (1) All Clear Reports, (2) Advanced Volume Reports, and (3) Election Mail Service Reporting.<sup>3</sup>

### 1. All Clear Reports

As USPS has previously described to the Court, *see* ECF No. 28 ¶ 19, USPS uses daily "all clears" to ensure that all Election Mail is accounted for within the system. In the all clear process, in-plant support personnel in processing or delivery units use a checklist to confirm that mail scheduled or "committed" to go out that day has gone out, and anything committed for the next day is at the front of the line.<sup>4</sup> Personnel conducting all clears consult Election Mail logs, and also check all locations within the facility (*e.g.*, processing equipment) to ensure that all pieces of Election Mail in the facility's possession are in the right location.

All Clear Reports help USPS identify facilities that require additional follow-up to enforce their compliance with the daily all clear policy. Each USPS processing plant, delivery unit, and reporting retail unit is required to certify, through an online system, its all clear performance every day. Reports showing USPS's "all clear" reporting results for the time period from December 26, 2020, to January 1, 2021, are attached hereto as Exhibit 3, broken down by

In recent weeks, in connection with similar cases pending in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, USPS has provided certain daily reports relating to the daily performance of particular subcategories of Election Mail to the district court or to the plaintiffs in those cases. USPS does not customarily compile or use, for internal purposes, the information provided in the daily reports. The data reflected in those reports is not distinct or maintained separately from the weekly figures reported to this Court, except for data regarding ballots that have not received a finalization scan; the weekly reporting in the instant case primarily incorporates and reflects the data reported daily in the District of Columbia cases. USPS notes that for the reasons explained herein and in its submissions to the District of Columbia, the data reported daily should not be interpreted as being representative of the general performance of Election Mail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As a general matter, USPS collects an enormous amount of raw data regarding its products and operations, some of which would incidentally bear on the performance of Election Mail. For example, in accordance with paragraphs 6(a) and (b) of the Order, USPS is providing weekly data updates to the Court regarding the service performance of all First-Class Mail, Marketing Mail, and Periodicals, which encompasses but extends far beyond Election Mail. USPS understands paragraph 6(c) of the Order as referring to data and information that USPS Headquarters customarily collects and uses specifically relating to Election Mail, all of which is discussed herein.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Many, but not all, retail units are also required to certify their all clear performance each day. Some very small retail units are not required to certify their all clear performance.

Page 3 of 5

geographic Division (for mail processing plants) and by geographic Area (for delivery/retail units).

In these reports, the "Total Count" column totals the number of facility reports expected each week (in other words, it reflects the number of facilities multiplied by seven); "No Response" reflects how many expected reports were not submitted; and "Non-Compliant" indicates that a facility did not clear all of its Election Mail that day. It is important to note that a "Non-Compliant" certification does not necessarily indicate that a facility failed to properly comply with the all clear process on a given day; it indicates primarily that the facility located some Election Mail that it did not clear that day, often for reasons unrelated to any operational deficiency. As discussed above, the all clear process is principally aimed at making sure that all Election Mail within a facility is accounted for, and anticipates that some Election Mail (for example, Election Mail received too late in the day or night to process) may be located during a facility-wide check and committed to go out at the front of the line the next day.

If a facility submits no "all clear" response on a given day, USPS Headquarters alerts the relevant District Manager or Division director to follow up. If a particular facility exhibits a pattern of non-responses, USPS may take a corrective action.

## 2. Advanced Volume Reports

Advanced Volume Reports help USPS estimate the amount of Election Mail, primarily that which is sent as Marketing Mail, that is advanced. Using the Mail Condition Visualization system, which is USPS's system of record for mail processing conditions, USPS can count the number of mailpieces that receive their final processing scan (meaning that they have gone through processing and are about to be sent to delivery units) prior to their expected date of delivery. In other words, USPS is able to detect mailpieces that are processed and readied for delivery ahead of schedule, when compared with their expected delivery standard, as a consequence of USPS's policies of advancing mail (especially Election Mail).<sup>5</sup> It is important to note that Advanced Volume Reports set forth absolute numbers without denominators, and as such may not fully depict USPS's general performance with respect to Election Mail in a given week (e.g., what percent of Election Mail USPS received and advanced in a given week).

For the time period from December 26, 2020, to January 1, 2021, USPS data shows that 121,740 pieces of Election Mail were advanced.

#### 3. Election Mail Service Reporting

USPS is now using validated data pertaining to three subsets of Election Mail for internal purposes. The three relevant subsets of Election Mail are: (1) mailpieces that are electronically identified on "entry" as Election Mail and that are also trackable using USPS's Intelligent Mail

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Generally speaking, the vast majority (approximately 98%) of mailpieces that receive their final processing scan are delivered the next day. Due to a number of factors, including mail being missorted, missequenced, or natural disasters, a very small fraction of mail that has received its final processing scan may be delivered a day later.

Page 4 of 5

Barcode ("IMB") feature; (2) Election Mail that bears service type ID ("STID") codes embedded within the IMB specifically identifying the Mail as ballots outgoing to voters; and (3) Election Mail that bears STID codes that specifically identify the Mail as ballots incoming from voters.<sup>6</sup>

Only a subset of Election Mail is sent using IMBs and ballot-specific STID codes, and USPS does not currently have data to specifically track and analyze the service performance of Election Mail that does not utilize those features. Therefore, the Election Mail Service Reporting described in this section is not a representatively accurate measurement of the service performance of all Election Mail handled by USPS. In addition, each of the Election Mail Service figures reported this week (and moving forward) tracks the performance of Election Mail within USPS's operational network, which is the mailpiece's time between its first and last processing operations. These service performance figures do not incorporate the "First Mile," which represents the time from acceptance of the mailpiece (e.g., from a collection box or retail unit) to the first processing operation, or the "Last Mile," which tracks mailpieces from their last processing hit to delivery. "First Mile" and "Last Mile" data are not incorporated into the Election Mail Service figures for two principal reasons: first, those two measurements are derived from statistically valid sampling at the aggregate product level (e.g., sampling of all First-Class Mail), which cannot practicably or reliably be adapted to specifically track Election Mail; and second, because Marketing Mail, including Election Mail entered by election officials as Marketing Mail, does not have a "First Mile" measurement.

Lastly, USPS considers this Election Mail Service Performance information to be confidential and not subject to public disclosure under 39 U.S.C. § 410(c). In addition to not being a representatively accurate measurement of the service performance of all Election Mail handled by USPS for the reasons described above, it is also a subset of First-Class Mail and Marketing Mail data that is more disaggregated than is otherwise publicly shared. Nor does the USPS otherwise report on a combination of a subset of First-Class Mail and Marketing Mail in this manner in other contexts. As such, this information is commercial in nature and would not be disclosed under good business practices. USPS is providing the information pursuant to paragraph 6 of the Order, but reserves the right to assert the confidential nature of this information in other contexts.

Bearing these limitations in mind, USPS provides, herewith, weekly processing scores for the three subsets of Election Mail described above. These figures are included on Exhibit 3, attached, for the week ending January 1, 2021.

\*\*\*

Finally, on October 14, 2020, USPS distributed the Supplemental Guidance Document approved by the Court on October 10, 2020 (ECF Nos. 85-1, 87) to nearly 50,000 USPS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> As USPS has previously noted, because state and local election officials control all aspects of the design of Election Mail, those officials are responsible for choosing whether to make use of USPS's Intelligent Mail Barcode and ballot-specific STID codes for Election Mail. *See, e.g.*, ECF No. 28 ¶ 4. USPS does not charge an additional fee for these features; in fact, election officials may receive a discount for opting to use the IMB.

Page 5 of 5

employees by electronic mail, as indicated in the Document. *See* ECF No. 85-1 at 1; Order ¶ 9. The email distributing the Document instructed each recipient to follow an embedded link to an electronic certification page. USPS established this method for electronic certification in order to comply with the Court's directive to "certify . . . whether all USPS managerial staff members have certified that they have read, reviewed, and understand the Supplemental Guidance Document." Order ¶ 9. 47,154 USPS employees, comprised of primarily managerial employees, <sup>7</sup> have electronically certified that they have read, reviewed, and understand the Supplemental Guidance Document. This represents an approximately 95% percent response rate.

After the initial distribution of the Supplemental Guidance Document on October 14, notices were sent to USPS officers, on at least a daily basis, identifying individuals in their organizations who had not yet completed the certification, so that the officers could continue to remind staff to review and certify until certification is complete. In the course of following up with non-responders, USPS has determined that over a thousand of the original recipients of the Supplemental Guidance Document are not expected to complete the certification for various reasons, including because they are no longer with USPS, they are on extended leave, or they are pending separation from USPS. USPS therefore believes that fewer than five percent of the original recipients of the Supplemental Guidance Document have not certified compliance.

Respectfully,

AUDREY STRAUSS Acting United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York Attorney for Defendants

By: Rebecca S. Tinio
REBECCA S. TINIO
STEVEN J. KOCHEVAR
Assistant United States Attorneys
86 Chambers Street, Third Floor
New York, New York 10007
Tel.: (212) 637-2774/2715

E-mail: rebecca.tinio@usdoj.gov steven.kochevar@usdoj.gov

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Employees on the Executive and Administrative Schedule ("EAS"), to whom the Supplemental Guidance Document was distributed, include some non-managerial staff members.