

1 Roopali H. Desai (024295)  
D. Andrew Gaona (028414)  
2 Kristen Yost (034052)  
3 **COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC**  
2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900  
4 Phoenix, AZ 85004  
T: (602) 381-5478  
5 rdesai@cblawyers.com  
6 agaona@cblawyers.com  
kyost@cblawyers.com

7 Justin A. Nelson (*pro hac vice*)  
8 **SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.**  
1000 Louisiana, Suite 5100  
9 Houston, TX 77002-5096  
T: (713) 651-9366  
10 jnelson@susmangodfrey.com

Stephen E. Morrissey (*pro hac vice*)  
**SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.**  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800  
Seattle, WA 98101-3000  
T: (206) 516-3880  
smorrissey@susmangodfrey.com

Stephen Shackelford (*pro hac vice*)  
**SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.**  
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor  
New York, NY 10019-6023  
T: (212) 336-8330  
sshackelford@susmangodfrey.com

Davida Brook (*pro hac vice*)  
**SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.**  
1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
T: (310) 789-3100  
dbrook@susmangodfrey.com

11 *Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Secretary of State Katie Hobbs*

12  
13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
14 **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

15 Tyler Bowyer; Michael John Burke; Nancy  
Cottle; Jake Hoffman; Anthony Kern;  
16 Christopher M. King; James R. Lamon; Sam  
Moorhead; Robert Montgomery; Loraine  
17 Pellegrino; Greg Safsten; Salvatore Luke  
Scarmardo; Kelli Ward; and Michael Ward,

18  
19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 Doug Ducey, in his official capacity as  
Governor of the State of Arizona; and Katie  
22 Hobbs, in her official capacity as Arizona  
Secretary of State,

23  
24 Defendants.

25 **MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF**  
**SUPERVISORS**; and **ADRIAN FONTES**, in his  
26 official capacity as Maricopa County Recorder,

27  
28 Interveners.

No. CV-20-02321-PHX-DJH

**DEFENDANT SECRETARY OF  
STATE KATIE HOBBS' NOTICE  
OF SUPPLEMENTAL  
AUTHORITY**

1 Defendant Secretary of State Katie Hobbs respectfully submits this Notice of  
2 Supplemental Authority to provide notice of today’s decision by the Eleventh Circuit  
3 Court of Appeals that plaintiff, who brought identical claims as those asserted in this case  
4 and is among plaintiffs’ counsel of record in this case, lacks standing to pursue a  
5 “generalized grievance” regarding the outcome of the election because he could not  
6 “explain how his interest in compliance with state election laws is different from that of  
7 any other person.” The Eleventh Circuit in *L. Lin Wood, Jr. v. Brad Raffensperger, et al.*,  
8 -- F.3d --, No. 20-14418 (11th Cir. Dec. 5, 2020), at 10-13. The Eleventh Circuit also held  
9 that federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction and, therefore, may not entertain post-  
10 election contests about garden-variety issues of vote counting and misconduct that may  
11 properly be filed in state courts. The ruling also held that, like here, plaintiff’s claims were  
12 moot because the State already had certified.

13 A copy of the Decision is attached as Exhibit A.

14 Respectfully submitted this 5th day of December, 2020.

15 **COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC**

16 By s/ Roopali H. Desai  
17 Roopali H. Desai  
18 D. Andrew Gaona  
Kristen Yost

19 **SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.**

20 Justin A. Nelson  
21 Stephen E. Morrissey  
Stephen Shackelford  
22 Davida Brook

23 *Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Secretary of*  
24 *State Katie Hobbs*