

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TALLAHASSEE DIVISION**

FLORIDA STATE CONFERENCE
OF BRANCHES AND YOUTH
UNITS OF THE NAACP,
DISABILITY RIGHTS FLORIDA,
and COMMON CAUSE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LAUREL M. LEE, in her official
capacity as Secretary of State of
Florida,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:21-cv-00187-AW-MAF

**NOTICE OF CASE INVOLVING
ISSUES OF FACT OR LAW IN COMMON**

Pursuant to the Local Rules of this Court, Plaintiffs hereby provide notice that this case “involves issues of fact or law in common with the issues in another case pending in the district.” N.D. Fla. Local R. 5.6. On May 6, 2021, prior to the filing of the above-captioned action in the Tallahassee Division, a separate action (entitled *League of Women Voters of Florida, Inc., et al. v. Lee, et al.*, No. 4:21-cv-00186-MW-MAF) was filed in the Gainesville Division. Both actions challenge the constitutionality of nearly the same set of provisions in Florida’s newly enacted law,

Senate Bill 90, and rely on some of the same causes of action.*

Date: May 10, 2021

Respectfully submitted,



Nellie L. King
(Fla. Bar No. 0099562)
The Law Offices of Nellie L. King,
P.A.
319 Clematis Street, Suite 107
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
561-833-1084
Nellie@CriminalDefenseFla.com

Robert D. Fram*
Covington & Burling LLP
415 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-591-7025
rfram@cov.com

P. Benjamin Duke*
Shira M. Poliak*
Covington & Burling LLP
The New York Times Building
620 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10018
212-841-1270
pbduke@cov.com

Natasha Merle
John Z. Morris*
Michael Pernick*
Morenike Fajana*
NAACP Legal Defense &
Educational Fund, Inc.
40 Rector Street, 5th Floor
New York, NY 10006
212-965-2200
zmorris@naacpldf.org

Benjamin L. Cavataro
(Fla. Bar No. 113534)
Virginia A. Williamson*
Covington & Burling LLP
850 Tenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
202-662-5693
bcavataro@cov.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

* *Pro hac vice application forthcoming*

* Each action challenges five provisions in Senate Bill 90, four of which are challenged by both actions. Both actions bring constitutional claims under the First Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment.