

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TALLAHASSEE DIVISION**

FLORIDA RISING TOGETHER, FAITH IN
FLORIDA, UNIDOSUS, EQUAL GROUND
EDUCATION FUND, HISPANIC
FEDERATION, and PODER LATINX,

Case No. 4:21-cv-201-AW-MJF

Plaintiffs,

v.

LAUREL M. LEE, in her official capacity as
the Secretary of State of Florida, and PENNY
OGG, in her official capacity as Supervisor
of Elections for Highlands County, Florida,
SHIRLEY GREEN KNIGHT, in her official
capacity as the Supervisor of Elections for
Gadsden County, Florida, MARY JANE
ARRINGTON, in her official capacity as
Supervisor of Elections for Osceola County,
Florida, and CRAIG LATIMER, in his
official capacity as the Supervisor of
Elections for Hillsborough County, Florida,
on behalf of themselves and all those
similarly situated,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO INTERVENE

Plaintiffs respectfully oppose the motion to intervene (ECF No. 26) submitted by the Republican National Committee (“RNC”) and National Republican Senatorial Committee (“NRSC”) (together, the “Proposed Intervenors”).

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the oppositions to motions to intervene filed in two closely related cases currently pending in this District: *League of Women Voters of Florida, Inc., et al. v. Lee, et al.*, No. 4:21-cv-00186-MW-MAF (N.D. Fla.) (ECF No. 65) and *Florida State Conference of Branches and Youth Units of the NAACP, et al. v. Lee*, No. 4:21-cv-00187-MW-MAF (N.D. Fla.) (ECF No. 37). These oppositions are attached as Exhibits 1 and 2 to this opposition. As explained by the plaintiffs in those related cases, the Proposed Intervenors do not satisfy the standards set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24 for either intervention as of right or permissive intervention.

In particular, the Proposed Intervenors fail to show why defense of S.B. 90 by the governmental Defendants is inadequate. Adequacy of representation is presumed where, as here, Defendants and Proposed Intervenors have the same objective, *i.e.*, defending a challenged statute. *Stone v. First Union Corp.*, 371 F.3d 1305, 1308–09 (11th Cir. 2004). Indeed, the Secretary of State is legally obligated to defend the challenged provisions. *See* Fla. Const. art. II, § 5(b); Fla. Stat. § 97.012(1). Proposed Intervenors have not identified any likely, let alone actual, divergence of interests between themselves and the Defendants. Thus, there is no need for intervention, which would complicate and delay the proceedings. *See Chiles v. Thornburgh*, 865 F.2d 1197, 1213–1215 (11th Cir. 1989) (affirming denial

of Rule 24(a) and 24(b) intervention motions because movant's interest was adequately represented).

Plaintiffs recognize that Chief Judge Walker has permitted intervention in the *League of Women Voters* and *NAACP* cases. Plaintiffs further recognize that if the pending unopposed motions by Defendant Lee to consolidate this matter with the *League of Women Voters* case (ECF No. 39) and Plaintiffs' unopposed motion to transfer for consolidation with those related cases (ECF No. 43) are granted, the Proposed Intervenors will be parties to the consolidated proceeding. As parties to any consolidated proceeding, the Proposed Intervenors' asserted interests will be sufficiently protected.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court deny the motion to intervene.

Dated: June 22, 2021

KIRA ROMERO-CRAFT
Florida Bar No. 49927
MIRANDA GALINDO**
LatinoJustice, PRLDEF
523 W Colonial Dr.
Orlando, FL 32804
(321) 418-6354
Kromero@latinojustice.org
Mgalindo@latinojustice.org

BRENDA WRIGHT**
DEMOS
80 Broad St, 4th Flr
New York, NY 10004
(212) 633-1405
bwright@demos.org
snaifeh@demos.org

JUDITH BROWNE DIANIS**
GILDA R. DANIELS
JORGE VASQUEZ**
SABRINA KHAN**
ESPERANZA SEGARRA
Florida Bar No. 527211
SHARION SCOTT**
ADVANCEMENT PROJECT
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 850
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 728-9557
Jbrowne@advancementproject.org
Gdaniels@advancementproject.org
Jvasquez@advancementproject.org
Skhan@advancementproject.org
Esegarra@advancementproject.org
Sscott@advancementproject.org

Respectfully submitted,

s/ John A. Freedman
JOHN A. FREEDMAN*
JEREMY C. KARPATKIN
ELISABETH S. THEODORE*
SAM I. FERENC*
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
601 Massachusetts Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20001-3743
202-942-5000
John.Freedman@arnoldporter.com
Jeremy.Karpatkin@arnoldporter.com
Elisabeth.Theodore@arnoldporter.com
Sam.Ferenc@arnoldporter.com

JEFFREY A. MILLER*
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
3000 El Camino Road
Five Palo Alto Square, Suite 500
Palo Alto, CA 94306-3807
(650) 319-4500
Jeffrey.Miller@arnoldporter.com

AARON STIEFEL*
DANIEL R. BERNSTEIN*
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
250 West 55th Street
New York, NY 10019-9710
(212) 836-8000
Aaron.Stiefel@arnoldporter.com
Daniel.Bernstein@arnoldporter.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
**Admitted pro hac vice*

***Application for admission pro hac vice
forthcoming*

LOCAL RULE 7.1(F) CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(F), this memorandum contains 378 words, excluding the case style, signature block, and certificate of service.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this document was served on all counsel of record through the Court's CM/ECF system on the 22nd of June, 2021.

s/ *John A. Freedman*
Attorney for Plaintiffs