1	ALLISTER ADEL				
2	MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY				
3	By: JOSEPH E. LA RUE (Bar No. 03134	•			
	JOSEPH J. BRANCO (Bar No. 0314 Deputy County Attorneys	74)			
4	laruej@mcao.maricopa.gov				
5	<u>brancoj@mcao.maricopa.gov</u>				
6	CIVIL SERVICES DIVISION				
7					
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85003				
	Telephone (602) 506-8541				
9	<u>ca-civilmailbox@mcao.maricopa.gov</u> MCAO Firm No. 0003200				
10	MCAO Filii No. 0003200				
11	Attorneys for Defendant Maricopa County				
4.0	Recorder Stephen Richer				
12	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT			
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
15					
	Mi Familia Vota; Arizona Coalition for	No. CV-21-1423-PHX-DWL			
16	Change; Living United for Change in Arizona; and League of Conservation	DEFENDANT MARICOPA COUNTY			
17	Voters, Inc. d/b/a Chispa AZ,	RECORDER STEPHEN RICHER'S			
18	1	ANSWER			
	Plaintiffs,				
19	v.				
20	TZ TX 11				
21	Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as Arizona Secretary of State; Mark				
22	Brnovich, in his official capacity as				
	Arizona Attorney General; and the				
23	County Recorder Defendants, Apache				
24	County Recorder Larry Noble; Cochise County Recorder David W. Stevens;				
25	Coconino County Recorder Patty Hansen;				
	Gila County Recorder Sadie Jo Bingham;				
26	Graham County Recorder Wendy John;				
27	Greenlee County Recorder Sharie Milheiro; La Paz County Recorder				
28	Zimeno, Za i az coantj necoraci	J			

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Richard Garcia; Maricopa County Recorder Stephen Richer; Mohave County Recorder Kristi Blair; Navajo County Recorder Michael Sample; Pima County Recorder Gabriella Cázares-Kelly; Pinal County Recorder Virginia Ross; Santa Cruz County Recorder Suzanne Sainz; Yavapai County Recorder Leslie M. Hoffman; and Yuma County Recorder Robyn S. Pouquette, in their official capacities,

Defendants.

Defendant Maricopa County Recorder Stephen Richer (the "Maricopa County Recorder") states as his Answer to the Plaintiffs' Complaint as follows:

PREFATORY STATEMENT

The Maricopa County Recorder is a nominal, results-only defendant in this matter. He takes no position on the substantive questions concerning the laws that the Plaintiffs challenge. He is truly a neutral party, present in this lawsuit only because he is made responsible by statute to implement the challenged laws, and will do whatever this Court (or an appellate court) orders.

Every allegation made by the Plaintiffs in their Complaint, which is not expressly admitted in this Answer, is denied. Also, the Maricopa County Recorder's admittance that various authorities, media reports, studies, statements, and the like "speak for themselves" does not indicate the Maricopa County Recorder opinion as to their veracity.

ANSWER TO THE COMPLAINT'S ALLEGATIONS

- 1. ADMITS that the lawsuit concerns Senate Bills 1003 and 1485, which speak for themselves. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form belief as to allegations concerning whether the challenged laws make voting more difficult or "practically impossible" for any voter.
 - 2. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief

as to the truth of all factual allegations. The legal allegations require no response.

LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief

as to all factual allegations. The legal allegations require no response.

1

2

3.

1	17.	LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief
2	as to the truth	of all allegations.
3	18.	LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief
4	as to the truth	of all allegations.
5	19.	LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief
6	as to the truth	of all allegations.
7	20.	LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief
8	as to the truth	of all allegations.
9	21.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
10	22.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
11	23.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
12	24.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
13	25.	ADMITS the second sentence of this paragraph. The remaining allegations
14	of this paragra	aph are legal in nature and no response is required.
15	26.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
16	27.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
17	28.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
18	29.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
19	30.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
20	31.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
21	32.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
22	33.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
23	34.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
24	35.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
25	36.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
26	37.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
27	38.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
28	39.	ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
	İ	

- 40. ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
- 41. ADMITS the allegations of this paragraph.
- 42. The authorities cited speak for themselves.
- 43. The authorities cited speak for themselves.
- 44. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
- 45. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
- 46. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations.
- 47. The website cited in the first sentence speaks for itself. The second sentence makes allegations about the presence or absence of "widespread election fraud in connection with Arizona's vote-by-mail system[,]" but cites no authority to support the allegations. Although the Maricopa County Recorder is personally not aware of any such "widespread election fraud" having occurred in Arizona, he LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of Plaintiffs' allegations.
- 48. ADMITS that the Maricopa County Recorder's Office faced challenges in conducting the Recorder's election-related responsibilities as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Because Plaintiffs cite to no authority for the remainder of their allegations about voting in Arizona, the Recorder cannot evaluate the veracity of the allegations. He therefore LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 49. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
 - 50. The authorities cited speak for themselves. The Maricopa County Recorder

6

10 11

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

of all other allegations in this paragraph.

LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth

- ADMITS that "some officials in Arizona and around the country" "spread[] 51. false and discredited theories that the 2020 elections were affected by widespread voter fraud." To the extent that Plaintiffs allege that "[t]he historic turnout in 2020" was not "celebrat[ed]," that allegation is DENIED. Plaintiffs cite no authority for the remainder of the allegations in this paragraph, and so the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations in this paragraph.
- 52. ADMITS the allegations in this paragraph as applied to the 2020 general election.
- 53. ADMITS the allegations in this paragraph as applied to the 2020 general election.
 - 54. ADMITS.
 - 55. ADMITS.
- 56. The cited authorities speak for themselves. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the final sentence of this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to its truth or falsehood.
- 57. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the first sentence of this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to its truth or falsehood. The Maricopa County Recorder ADMITS, however, that the Maricopa County Elections Department facilitated the statutorily-required hand-count audit, conducted by the political parties, of the ballots cast at 2% of the voting locations in the November 3, 2020 general election. The Maricopa County Recorder further ADMITS that the hand-count audit just described resulted in 100% accuracy when compared with the machine tabulation count. The Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth or falsehood of the date

alleged in the second sentence. ADMITS all remaining allegations.

- 58. ADMITS that an entity called Cyber Ninjas "conduct[ed]" what it and some others referred to as "an 'audit" of the 2020 election results in Maricopa County. The Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 59. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph. The Maricopa County Recorder notes, however, that there were government and news media reports that Cyber Ninjas and/or its subcontractors engaged in practices that were not consistent with the generally-accepted "best practices" used by those who conduct audits and/or recounts of election contests.
- 60. The cited authority media report for itself. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph.
- 61. ADMITS that there were reports that Cyber Ninjas and/or its subcontractors and/or its volunteer workers scanned ballots with UV lights and inspected ballots for traces of bamboo to determine if they were imported from Asia. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations in this paragraph.
 - 62. The cited media reports speak for themselves.
- 63. The cited website in the first two sentences speaks for itself. Plaintiff cites no authority for the allegations in the third sentence and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
- 64. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph.
- 65. The cited Brennan Center report speaks for itself. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph.

- 66. ADMITS that "courts" have "review[ed]" the 2020 general election conducted in Maricopa County and found no evidence of widespread voter fraud that undermined the integrity of Arizona's 2020 election. ADMITS that the legally-required "official audits" occurred in Maricopa County. ADMITS that additional, legally-authorized "official audits" of tabulation equipment used to conduct the 2020 general election in Maricopa County were conducted by forensic auditors retained by Maricopa County. ADMITS that none of the forementioned "official audits" found evidence of widespread voter fraud that undermined the integrity of Arizona's 2020 election. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations in this paragraph.
- 67. The cited media report speaks for itself. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph.
- 68. ADMITS that the Arizona legislature passed Senate Bills 1003 and 1485. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations in this paragraph.
- 69. ADMITS that, as of November 5, 2021 (the date that the Answer to this paragraph was prepared by the Maricopa County Recorder's attorneys), there were more than 2.6 million registered voters in Maricopa County, and more than 2 million of those registered voters were on the Active Early Voting List (what was formerly known as the Permanent Early Voting List). Plaintiffs cite no authority for the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth or falsity.
- 70. The Plaintiffs have correctly stated the legal requirements regarding when ballots must be sent to those registered voters who have signed up for what was formerly called the permanent early voting list. The Plaintiffs have also correctly stated the legal requirement that such voters do not need to request a ballot for each individual election. The Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to

form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations in this paragraph.

SB 1485 speaks for itself.

SB 1485 speaks for itself.

1

2

3

71.

72.

73. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief 4 as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph. 5 74. The Brennan Center study speaks for itself. The Maricopa County Recorder 6 LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth 7 of all allegations in this paragraph. 8 75. The Pew Research Center study speaks for itself. The Maricopa County 9 Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as 10 to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph. 11 76. The Plaintiffs cite no authority to support the allegations contained in this 12 Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE paragraph, and the 13 INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this 14 paragraph. 15 77. The Plaintiffs cite no authority to support the allegations contained in this 16 paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE 17 INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this 18 paragraph. 19 78. The Plaintiffs cite no authority to support the allegations contained in this 20 paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE 21 INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this 22 paragraph. 23 79. The Plaintiffs cite no authority to support the allegations contained in this 24 the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE 25 paragraph, INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this 26 paragraph. 27 80. 28 The Plaintiffs cite no authority to support the allegations contained in this

- paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph.
- 81. A.R.S. s 16-544(L) speaks for itself. The Plaintiffs cite no authority to support the allegations contained in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph.
- 82. The Plaintiffs cite no authority to support the allegations contained in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph.
- 83. The Plaintiffs cite no authority to support the allegations contained in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph.
- 84. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all allegations in this paragraph.
- 85. ADMITS that in past elections the Maricopa County Recorder has received unsigned early ballot affidavits and affidavits with signatures that appear not to match voter registration records. The cited laws speak for themselves. The Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations in this paragraph, including the allegations contained in footnote 21.
 - 86. A.R.S. § 16-550(A) and SB 1003 speak for themselves.
- 87. A.R.S. § 16-548(A) speaks for itself. The Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations in this paragraph.
 - 88. A.R.S. § 16-550(A) speaks for itself.
 - 89. A.R.S. § 16-550(A) speaks for itself. The Maricopa County Recorder

KNOWLEDGE

OR

form a belief as to their truth.

1

100. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the 2 Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to 3 form a belief as to their truth. 4 101. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the 5 Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to 6 form a belief as to their truth. 7 102. The court decisions speak for themselves. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for 8 the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS 9 KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth. 10 103. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the 11 Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to 12 form a belief as to their truth. 13 104. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the 14 Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to 15 form a belief as to their truth. 16 105. The cited authority speaks for itself. The Maricopa County Recorder LACKS 17 KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all 18 allegations in this paragraph. 19 106. The cited authority speaks for itself. The Maricopa County Recorder LACKS 20 KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all 21 allegations in this paragraph. 22 107. The Voting Rights Act speaks for itself. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for 23 the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS 24 KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth. 25 108. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the 26 Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to 27 form a belief as to their truth. 28

- 109. DENIES that a presidential *primary* election was conducted in Maricopa County in 2016 or 2008. ADMITS that voters in Maricopa County stood in lines to vote during the 2016 presidential *preference* election. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for their allegations in this paragraph, and the current Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
 - 110. The cited letter speaks for itself.
 - 111. The cited materials speak for themselves.
- 112. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
- 113. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
- 114. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
- 115. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
- 116. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
- 117. Th authority cited speaks for itself. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.
- 118. The cited authority speaks for itself. The Plaintiffs cite no authority for the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and the Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to their truth.

- legal allegation to which no response is required. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR 1 INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained 2 3 in this paragraph. 134. States a legal conclusion to which no response is required. 4 135. States a legal conclusion to which no response is required. 5 136. The Maricopa County Recorder realleges all proceeding allegations and 6 incorporates them by reference as if fully restated herein. 7 137. The Fourteenth Amendment speaks for itself. 8 138. The Fifteenth Amendment speaks for itself. 9 139. The Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments, and the court decision cited, 10 speak for themselves. 11 140. The first sentence of this paragraph alleges a legal conclusion to which no 12 response is required. The Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR 13 INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations 14 contained in this paragraph. 15 141. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief 16 as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph. 17 142. The Maricopa County Recorder realleges all proceeding allegations and 18 incorporates them by reference as if fully restated herein. 19 143. Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act speaks for itself. 20 144. The first sentence of this paragraph alleges a legal conclusion to which no 21 response is required. The Maricopa County Recorder LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR 22 INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of all other allegations 23 contained in this paragraph. 24 25
 - 145. LACKS KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION SUFFICIENT to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in this paragraph.

27

28

RESPONSE TO PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The Maricopa County Recorder takes no position on the substantive questions

Case 2:21-cv-01423-DWL Document 60 Filed 11/15/21 Page 16 of 16

1	concerning the laws that the Plaintiffs challenge, and so takes no position concerning		
2	whether the Court should grant the relief that the Plaintiffs request.		
3			
4	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 15th day of November, 2021.		
5	ALLISTER ADEL		
6	MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY		
7	BY: /s/Joseph E. La Rue		
8	JOSEPH E. LA RUE JOSEPH J. BRANCO		
9	Deputy County Attorneys		
10	Attorneys for Defendant Maricopa County Recorder		
11			
12			
13	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
14	I hereby certify that on November 15, 2021, I caused the foregoing document to be		
15	electronically transmitted to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and served on counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF system.		
16			
17	/s/ V. Sisneros		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			