1	D. Andrew Gaona (028414)			
2	COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC 2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900			
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 T: (602) 381-5478			
4	agaona@cblawyers.com			
5	Sambo (Bo) Dul (030313) STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER 8205 South Private Private #10212			
6	8205 South Priest Drive, #10312 Tempe, Arizona 85284 T: (480) 253-9651			
7	bo@statesuniteddemocracy.org			
8	Christine Bass * STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER			
9	506 S. Spring Street, Suite #13308 Los Angeles, California 90013			
11	T: (309) 242-8511 <u>christinebass@statesuniteddemocracy.org</u> * <i>Admitted Pro Hac Vice</i>			
12				
13	Allorneys for Defendant Avizona Socvetamy of State Katie Hobbs			
14				
15	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT		
16	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
17	Mi Familia Vota, et al.,) No. 2:22-cv-00509-SRB		
18	Plaintiffs,) (Consolidated)		
19	v.) DEFENDANT SECRETARY OF		
20) STATE KATIE HOBBS' ANSWER) TO PLAINTIFFS PODER LATINX		
21	Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as Arizona Secretary of State, et al.,) AND CPLC'S SECOND AMENDED) COMPLAINT		
22	Defendants.)		
23				
24				
25				
26				
_0				

1143242.2

1	Living United for Change in Arizona, et al.,	_)
2	Plaintiffs,)
3	V.)
4	Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as)
5	Arizona Secretary of State, et al.,)
6	Defendants.)
7	Poder Latinx, et al.,	_)
8	Plaintiffs,)
9	ŕ)
10	V.)
11	Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as Arizona Secretary of State, et al.,)
12	Defendants.)
13	I Luite d Ctatas of America	
14	United States of America,	
15	Plaintiff,)
16	V.)
17	Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as)
18	Arizona Secretary of State, et al., Defendants.)
19	Defendants.	_)
20	Democratic National Committee, et al.,)
21	Plaintiffs,)
22	V.)
23	Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as	ĺ
24	Arizona Secretary of State, et al.,)
25	Defendants.)
26		_)

1	A		
1	Arizona Asian American Native Hawaiian)	
2	and Pacific Islander for Equity Coalition,)	
3	Plaintiff,)	
4	V.)	
5	Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as)	
6	Arizona Secretary of State, et al.,)	
O	Defendants.)	
7	Defendants.)	
8	Promise Arizona, et al.,		
9	Plaintiffs,)	
10	v.)	
11	Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as)	
12	Arizona Secretary of State, et al.,)	
13	Defendants.)	
14)	

Defendant Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as Arizona Secretary of State ("Secretary") answers Plaintiff Poder Latinx, Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc., and Chicanos Por La Causa Action Fund ("Plaintiffs") Second Amended Complaint [Doc. 169] ("SAC") as follows:

Nature of Action

- 1. Responding to Paragraph 1 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs generally describe the nature of their claims in this action, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 1.
- 2. Responding to Paragraph 2 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2492 and HB 2243's amendments to A.R.S. § 16-165 take effect on January 1, 2023. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 2.

5

11

8

13

12

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

- 3. Responding to Paragraph 3 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs generally describe the nature of their claims in this action challenging provisions in HB 2492 and HB 2243, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 3.
 - 4. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 4.
- 5. Responding to Paragraph 5 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2492 provides that election officials must "use all available resources," including any "state, city, town, county or federal database relating to voter registration to which the county recorder has access," to "verify the citizenship status" of voter registration applicants and registered voters who have not provided proof of citizenship; that HB 2492 and HB 2243 require the county recorders to reject registration forms and cancel voter registrations based on "information" that the applicant or registered voter "is not a United States citizen"; that HB 2492 requires the Secretary and county recorders to provide a list of individuals who have not submitted proof of citizenship required under Arizona law; that HB 2492 provides that the Attorney General must investigate the citizenship status of and potentially prosecute individuals on those lists; and that HB 2492 and HB 2243 authorize the county recorders to reject registration forms, cancel existing registered voters' records, and subject those individuals to investigation and prosecution based on certain citizenship information derived from potentially outdated and unreliable sources. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 5.
- 6. Responding to Paragraph 6 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs quote a portion of the Governor's veto letter in which he explained why he vetoed HB 2617 and that HB 2492 includes provisions similar to HB 2617, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 6.
- Responding to Paragraph 7 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs quote 7. the language in HB 2243, and that HB 2492 requires county recorders to subject applicants and

registered voters to investigation and potential cancellation of voter registrations based on "information" that the applicant or registered voter "is not a United States citizen." The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 7.

- 8. Responding to Paragraph 8 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2492 and HB 2243 will add additional restrictions in Arizona's voter registration system, and admits that, in her view as the Chief Election Officer for the State of Arizona, the challenged provisions do not advance any legitimate regulatory interest in ensuring free, fair, and secure elections, furthering the orderly and efficient administration of elections, or preventing fraud in elections. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8.
- 9. Responding to Paragraph 9 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2492 and HB 2243 do not specify what information suffices to determine that a voter registration applicant or registered voter is not a U.S. citizen and that some United States citizens may be erroneously flagged as non-citizens based on potentially outdated and inaccurate data. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9.
- 10. Responding to Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, the Secretary admits that, in her view as the Chief Election Officer for the State of Arizona, the challenged DPOR provisions of HB 2492 conflict with the NVRA and the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Arizona v. Inter Tribal Council of Arizona (ITCA)*, 570 U.S. 1 (2013).
- 11. Responding to Paragraph 11 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs generally describe the nature of their claims and their request for relief.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 12.
- 13. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 13.

14. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 14.

- 15. Responding to Paragraph 15 of the SAC, the Secretary denies that Plaintiff should be entitled to an award of its attorneys' fees and costs as against her, and admits the remaining allegations in Paragraph 15.
 - 16. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 16.
 - 17. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 17.

PARTIES

- 18. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 18.
- 19. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 19.
- 20. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 20.
- 21. Responding to Paragraph 21 of the SAC, the Secretary admits the allegations in the first three sentences. Responding to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 16, the Secretary admits that she is the public officer responsible for coordination of state responsibilities under the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 and the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act and that under A.R.S. § 16-452, the Secretary promulgates binding rules and regulations for voter registration through the Elections Procedures Manual ("EPM"), which is subject to approval by the Governor and Attorney General. The Secretary denies that she "has power to compel the county recorders to comply with state and federal election laws," except through the EPM after approval by the Governor and Attorney General.
 - 22. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 22.
 - 23. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 23.
 - 24. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 24.

- 1
- 2
- 3 4
- 5
- 7
- 11
- 12 13
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 21

- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26

- 25. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 25.
- 26. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 26.

BACKGROUND

- 27. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 27.
- 28. Responding to Paragraph 28 of the SAC, the Secretary admits the allegations in the first three sentences. Responding to the remaining allegations, the Secretary admits that an applicant who possesses an "F-type" license (i.e., a license type that indicates non-citizenship at the time of issuance) would need to submit another form of evidence of citizenship to register to vote a full ballot, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 28.
 - 29. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 29.
- 30. Responding to Paragraph 30 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Arizona voters who have provided DPOC may vote in all elections, including federal, state, and local elections, and Arizona voters who have not provided DPOC, and for whom proof of citizenship has not been located by county recorders, are only permitted to vote in federal elections. The Secretary further admits that HB 2492 will prohibit "federal only" voters from voting in presidential elections or receiving an early ballot by mail. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 30.
 - 31. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 31.
- 32. Responding to Paragraph 32 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that when HB 2492 takes effect, a state voter registration form that is submitted without DPOC must be rejected by the county recorder's office and that failing to reject the form will be a class 6 felony. The Secretary also admits that a registration applicant must be notified and afforded an opportunity to provide DPOC and that Plaintiffs quote Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 16-134(B) before it was amended by HB 2492. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a

belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 32.

- 33. Responding to Paragraph 33 of the SAC, the Secretary admits the allegations in the first two sentences, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in the last sentence.
- 34. Responding to Paragraph 34 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs generally describe portions of HB 2492 Section 4, A.R.S. § 16-121.01(D). The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 34.
- 35. Responding to Paragraph 35 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs generally describe the three "scenarios" described in HB 2492 Section 4, A.R.S. § 16-121.01(E), and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 35.
 - 36. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 36.
 - 37. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 37.
- 38. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 38.
- 39. Responding to Paragraph 39 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that under HB 2243, if a county recorder "obtains information pursuant to this section and confirms that the person registered is not a United States citizen," and if after receiving a notice the voter does not provide proof of citizenship within 35 days, the recorder must cancel the registration. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 39.
- 40. Responding to Paragraph 40 of the SAC, the Secretary admits the allegations in the second sentence, and admits the allegations in the third sentence except that the county recorders must perform such monthly comparisons only "to the extent practicable." Responding to the allegations in the first sentence, the Secretary admits that HB 2243 requires

that the Secretary perform monthly comparisons against the driver license database maintained by ADOT, and that HB 2243 requires that county recorders, to the extent practicable, perform reviews of certain federal, state, and local databases to which they have access.

- 41. Responding to Paragraph 41 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2243 requires that, "[t]o the extent practicable, each month the county recorder shall compare persons who are registered to vote in that county and who the county recorder has reason to believe are not United States citizens and persons who are registered to vote without satisfactory evidence of citizenship as prescribed by Section 16-166 with the Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements program maintained by the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services to verify the citizenship status of the persons registered." The Secretary also admits that HB 2243 does not include any indicia or criteria that would provide a predicate for the county recorder's "reason to believe" a person is not a United States citizen. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 41.
- 42. Responding to Paragraph 42 of the SAC, the Secretary admits the allegations except that county recorders must compare such data only "if accessible."
- 43. Responding to Paragraph 43 of the SAC, the Secretary admits the allegations except that county recorders must review such databases only "to the extent practicable."
- 44. Responding to Paragraph 44 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2492 and HB 2243 do not specify what type, set, or combination of "information" establishes that a registered voter "is not a United States citizen" or what information is sufficient to match an individual in a database with the registered voter or applicant, and that some United States citizens may be erroneously flagged as non-citizens based on potentially outdated and inaccurate data. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 44.
 - 45. Responding to Paragraph 45 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2617,

- 46. Responding to Paragraph 46 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Arizona's preexisting DPOC law enumerates the specific forms of proof that a voter registration applicant can provide to establish U.S. citizenship, and that HB 2492 and HB 2243 do not enumerate what specific "information" proves that a voter registration applicant or a registered voter "is not a U.S. citizen." The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 46.
- 47. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 47.
- 48. Responding to Paragraph 48 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that, in her view as the Chief Election Officer for the State of Arizona, the challenged HB 2492 and HB 2243 provisions do not advance any legitimate regulatory interest in ensuring free, fair, and secure elections, furthering the orderly and efficient administration of elections, or preventing fraud in elections. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 48.
- 49. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 49.
- 50. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 50.
- 51. Responding to Paragraph 51 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that certain of the databases listed in HB 2492 and HB 2243 may include potentially outdated and unreliable information.

- 52. Responding to Paragraph 52 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that SAVE is not a universal or exhaustive list of U.S. citizens and may contain outdated or inaccurate data on citizenship status. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 52.
- 53. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 53.
- 54. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 54.
- 55. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 55.
- 56. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 56.
- 57. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 57.
 - 58. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 58.
- 59. Responding to the first sentence in Paragraph 59 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2492 requires that "a person who registers to vote shall provide an identifying document that establishes proof of location of residence." The Secretary admits the remaining allegations in Paragraph 59.
 - 60. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 60.
 - 61. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 61.
- 62. Responding to Paragraph 62 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that, in her view as the Chief Election Officer for the State of Arizona, the proof of residence provision of HB 2492 conflicts with the NVRA and the Supreme Court's decision in *ITCA* by imposing requirements for registering to vote in federal elections using the Federal Form beyond those prescribed by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission.

11

13

12

15

16 17

18

19

21 22

23

24

- 63. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 63.
- 64. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 64.
- 65. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 65.
- 66. Responding to the allegations in Paragraph 66 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that, under the LULAC Consent Decree, voter registration applicants submitting either the state or federal voter registration form can still be registered to vote in federal elections even if they do not submit DPOC, and that under HB 2492, state registration forms submitted without DPOC will be rejected as incomplete. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 66.
- 67. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 67.
- 68. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 68.
- 69. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 69.
- 70. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 70.
- 71. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 71.
- 72. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 72.
- Responding to Paragraph 73 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2492 73. requires that a voter registration form be accompanied by DPOR to be complete, and lacks 26

9

11 12

> 13 14

1516

1718

19 20

2223

21

24

2526

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 73.

- 74. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 74.
- 75. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 75.
- 76. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 76.
- 77. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 77.
- 78. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 78.
- 79. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 79.
- 80. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 80.
- 81. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 81.
- 82. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 82.
- 83. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 83.
- 84. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 84.
- 85. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 85.

CLAIMS

(All Plaintiffs)
(Violation of Section 8(b) of the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA), 52 U.S.C. § 20507(b) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 HB 2492 Secs. 4, 7, and 8 (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 16-121.01(D), 16-121.01(E), and 16-121.01(F); Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 16-143; Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 16-165(A)(10))

COUNT ONE

86. The Secretary incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

87. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 87.

88. Responding to Paragraph 88 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs generally describe portions of the court's findings in *Project Vote v. Blackwell*, 455 F. Supp. 2d 694, 703 (N.D. Ohio 2006).

89. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 89.

90. Responding to Paragraph 90 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Arizona's preexisting DPOC requirement contains an objective list of the specific forms of documentation that prove a registration applicant's U.S. citizenship, and that HB 2492 and HB 2243 do not articulate what "information" will establish that a registration applicant or registered voter "is not a United States citizen," how to "confirm[]" a lack of U.S. citizenship, or what would constitute a "reason to believe" an individual is not a U.S. citizen. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 90.

91. Responding to Paragraph 91 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2492 and HB 2243 require county recorders to use "all available resources" to verify U.S. citizenship status when an applicant does not include DPOC, and that some United States citizens may be erroneously identified as non-citizens based on potentially outdated and inaccurate information. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about

the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 91.

- 92. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 92.
- 93. Responding to Paragraph 93 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that SAVE is not a universal or exhaustive list of U.S. citizens and may contain outdated or inaccurate data on citizenship status. The Secretary further admits that HB 2243 requires county recorders, to the extent practicable, to compare registered voters whom "the county recorder has reason to believe are not United States citizens" with SAVE "to verify the citizenship status of the persons registered." If a county recorder "confirms that the person registered is not a United States citizen" based on the review of the SAVE database, and if after receiving a notice the voter does not provide proof of citizenship within 35 days, the recorder must cancel the registration. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the trust of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 93.
- 94. Responding to Paragraph 94 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Section 16-165(I) as revised by HB 2243 requires county recorders, to the extent practicable, to compare registered voters whom "the county recorder has reason to believe are not United States citizens" with the SAVE database "to verify the citizenship status of the persons registered." The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 94.
 - 95. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 95.
- 96. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 96.
- 97. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 97.
- 98. Responding to Paragraph 98 of the SAC, the Secretary denies that she has taken any unlawful actions under color of state law. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 98. 2 COUNT TWO 3 (Discriminatory Practices and Procedures for Voter Qualification Determinations, 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(A) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983) 4 HB 2243 Section 2 (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 16-165(H)) 99. The Secretary incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set 5 forth herein. 6 7 100. Responding to Paragraph 100 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs quote a portion of 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(A). 8 Responding to Paragraph 101 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs 9 101. quote a portion of 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(A), and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 101. 11 Responding to Paragraph 102 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2243 12 requires a different "standard, practice, or procedure" for determining a voter's qualifications 13 for voters who a county recorder "has reason to believe are not United States citizens" than for 14 15 voters who a county recorder does not have reason to believe are not United States citizens. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the 16 remaining allegations in Paragraph 102. 17 18 103. Responding to Paragraph 103 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that voters who are not suspected of lacking U.S. citizenship will not be subjected to the investigation and 19 potential cancellations provisions set forth in HB 2243. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 103. 22 The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about 23 the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 104 24

any unlawful actions under color of state law.

25

26

Responding to Paragraph 105 of the SAC, the Secretary denies that she has taken

106. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 106.

Count Three (All Plaintiffs)

(Racial and National Origin Discrimination, Equal Protection Clause of Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and Fifteenth Amendment, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983) HB 2243 Section 2 (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 16-165(I)

- 107. The Secretary incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 108. Responding to Paragraph 108 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits discrimination based on race or national origin, and that Plaintiffs quote portions of the cited cases.
- 109. Responding to Paragraph 109 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs quote a portion of the Fifteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 110. Responding to Paragraph 110 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs quote a portion of *Louisiana v. United States*, 380 U.S. 145, 152–53 (1965).
- 111. Responding to Paragraph 111 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2243 requires that county recorders, to the extent practicable, check the SAVE database each month "to verify the citizenship status" of any "persons who are registered to vote in that county and who the county recorder has reason to believe are not United States citizens." The Secretary also admits that SAVE is not a universal or exhaustive list of U.S. citizens and may contain outdated or inaccurate data on citizenship status. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 111.
- 112. Responding to Paragraph 112 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2243 requires that county recorders, to the extent practicable, check the SAVE database each month "to verify the citizenship status" of any "persons who are registered to vote in that county and who the county recorder has reason to believe are not United States citizens."

- 113. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 113.
- 114. Responding to Paragraph 114 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2243 does not specify that any particular information is improper or insufficient to establish "reason to believe" that a person is not a United States citizen. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the remaining allegations in Paragraph 114.
- 115. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 115.
- 116. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 116.
- 117. Responding to Paragraph 117 of the SAC, the Secretary denies that she has taken any unlawful actions under color of state law.
- 118. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 118.

COUNT FOUR (All Plaintiffs)

(Arbitrary and Disparate Treatment of Voter Registration Applicants Using the Federal Form and Currently Registered Voters, Equal Protection Clause of Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

The Citizenship Investigation Provisions (Both HB 2492 and HB 2243)

- 119. The Secretary incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 120. Responding to Paragraph 120 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs describe portions of the cited cases, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 120.
- 121. Responding to Paragraph 121 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs describe portions of the cited cases, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 121.

- 8

- 12 13
- 15 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26

- 122. Responding to Paragraph 122 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Arizona law enumerates the specific forms of proof that a voter registration applicant can provide to establish U.S. citizenship and that HB 2492 does not enumerate what specific "information" proves that a voter registration applicant or a registered voter "is not a U.S. citizen." The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 122.
 - 123. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 123.
- 124. Responding to Paragraph 124 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that the first sentence quotes a portion of HB 2492, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 124.
- Responding to Paragraph 125 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that, for registered Arizona voters who have not provided documentary proof of citizenship and can only vote in congressional elections, HB 2492 requires the Attorney General to engage in a similar database review to identify voters who are not U.S. citizens and "prosecute individuals" who are found to not be United States citizens." The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 125.
- Responding to Paragraph 126 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that under HB 2243, if a county recorder "obtains information pursuant to this section and confirms that the person registered is not a United States citizen," and if after receiving a notice the voter does not provide proof of citizenship within 35 days, the recorder must cancel the registration. The Secretary also admits that HB 2243 does not specify what type, set, or combination of "information" establishes that a registered voter "is not a United States citizen" currently, and it does not specify what it means to "confirm" a person is not a United States citizen. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 126.
 - 127. Responding to Paragraph 127 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that she is not

aware of any database that has current, up-to-date citizenship status information for all residences of the United States or Arizona and that existing databases that she is aware of may contain outdated or inaccurate citizenship status information. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 127.

- 128. Responding to Paragraph 128 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that HB 2243 requires that, "[t]o the extent practicable, each month the county recorder shall compare persons who are registered to vote in that county and who the county recorder has reason to believe are not United States citizens and persons who are registered to vote without satisfactory evidence of citizenship" with the SAVE database "to verify the citizenship status of the persons registered." The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 128.
- 129. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 129.
- 130. Responding to Paragraph 130 of the SAC, the Secretary denies that she has taken any unlawful actions under color of state law.
- 131. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 131.

COUNT FIVE (All Plaintiffs)

(Violation of Procedural Due Process as to Voter Registration Applicants Using the Federal Voter Registration Form Who Do Not Provide Documentary Proof of Citizenship, Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983) HB 2492 Section 5 (Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 16-121.01(E))

- 132. The Secretary incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 133. Responding to Paragraph 133 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs describe portions of the cited constitutional amendment and cases, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph

133.

- 134. Responding to Paragraph 134 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs quote a portion of the cited case, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 134.
- 135. Responding to Paragraph 135 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs quote a portion of the cited case, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 135.
- 136. Responding to Paragraph 136 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs quote portions of the cited cases, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 136.
 - 137. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 137.
 - 138. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 138.
- 139. Responding to Paragraph 139 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs quote portions of the cited cases, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 139.
- 140. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 140.
 - 141. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 141.
- 142. Responding to Paragraph 142 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that Plaintiffs quote a portion of the cited case, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 142.
- 143. Responding to Paragraph 143 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that, in her view as the Chief Election Officer for the State of Arizona, the challenged HB 2492 provisions do not advance any legitimate regulatory interest in ensuring free, fair, and secure elections, furthering the orderly and efficient administration of elections, or preventing fraud in elections. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the

remaining allegations in Paragraph 143.

144. Responding to Paragraph 144 of the SAC, the Secretary denies that she has taken any unlawful actions under color of state law, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 144.

COUNT SIX (Poder Latinx)

(Violation of NVRA Requirement That States Accept and Use Timely-Submitted Federal Registration Forms to Register Voters, 52 U.S.C. §§ 20505, 20507(a)) HB 2492 Section 5 (Ariz. Rev. Stat. §§ 16-123, 16-121.01(A))

- 145. The Secretary incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
 - 146. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 146.
- 147. Responding to Paragraph 147 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that, in her view as the Chief Election Officer for the State of Arizona, the challenged HB 2492 provisions conflict with the NVRA and the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *ITCA*.
 - 148. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 148.
- 149. Responding to Paragraph 149 of the SAC, the Secretary admits that, in her view as the Chief Election Officer for the State of Arizona, the challenged HB 2492 provisions conflict with the NVRA and the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *ITCA*.
 - 150. The Secretary admits the allegations in Paragraph 150.
- 151. Responding to Paragraph 151 of the SAC, the Secretary denies that she has taken any unlawful actions under color of state law.
- 152. The Secretary lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 152.
- 153. The Secretary denies each and every allegation in the SAC that is not specifically admitted herein, including (i) any implied allegations, inferences, or characterizations not specifically admitted, and (ii) any and all allegations stated or implied in unnumbered paragraphs, footnotes, and headings.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

154. Responding to Plaintiffs' prayer for relief, the Secretary states that, other than providing her view on certain provisions as Arizona's Chief Election Officer, she takes no position regarding the ultimate merits of Plaintiffs' claims against the challenged laws and Plaintiffs' request for substantive relief in the form of a declaratory judgment and a permanent injunction. The Secretary denies that Plaintiffs should be entitled to an award of their reasonable costs and attorneys' fees as against her.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of December, 2022.

COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC

By /s/ D. Andrew Gaona
D. Andrew Gaona

STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER

Sambo (Bo) Dul Christine Bass *

*Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Secretary of State Katie Hobbs