

## Multiple Documents

Part	Description
1	Main Document
2	Exhibit A
3	Exhibit B
4	Civil Cover Sheet

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

PENNSYLVANIA STATE CONFERENCE OF THE  
NAACP, LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF  
PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIANS ORGANIZED  
TO WITNESS, EMPOWER AND REBUILD,  
COMMON CAUSE PENNSYLVANIA, BLACK  
POLITICAL EMPOWERMENT PROJECT, AND  
MAKE THE ROAD PENNSYLVANIA,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

LEIGH M. CHAPMAN, in her official capacity as  
Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth,  
ADAMS COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
ALLEGHENY COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
ARMSTRONG COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
BEAVER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
BEDFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
BERKS COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
BLAIR COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
BRADFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
BUCKS COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
BUTLER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
CAMBRIA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
CAMERON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
CARBON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
CENTRE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
CHESTER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
CLARION COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
CLEARFIELD COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
CLINTON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
COLUMBIA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
CRAWFORD COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
CUMBERLAND COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
DAUPHIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
DELAWARE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
ELK COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS, ERIE  
COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
FAYETTE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
FOREST COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,

1:22-CV-339

Civ. No. \_\_\_\_\_

FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
GREENE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
HUNTINGDON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
INDIANA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
JEFFERSON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
JUNIATA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
LACKAWANNA COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
LANCASTER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
LAWRENCE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
LEBANON COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
LEHIGH COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
LUZERNE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
LYCOMING COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
MCKEAN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
MERCER COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
MIFFLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
MONROE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
MONTOUR COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,  
NORTHAMPTON COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY  
BOARD OF ELECTIONS, PERRY COUNTY BOARD  
OF ELECTIONS, PHILADELPHIA COUNTY BOARD  
OF ELECTIONS, PIKE COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, POTTER COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, SCHUYLKILL COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, SNYDER COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, SOMERSET COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, SULLIVAN COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY BOARD  
OF ELECTIONS, TIOGA COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, UNION COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, VENANGO COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, WARREN COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, WAYNE COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, WESTMORELAND COUNTY BOARD  
OF ELECTIONS, WYOMING COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS, and YORK COUNTY BOARD OF  
ELECTIONS,

*Defendants.*

**COMPLAINT  
FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiffs, nonpartisan organizations dedicated to promoting American democracy and the participation of Pennsylvania voters in our shared civic enterprise, bring this Complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 52 U.S.C. § 10101 to ensure that their members and other qualified Pennsylvania voters are not disenfranchised based on an immaterial paperwork error.

2. Defendants, Pennsylvania’s Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth and the 67 Pennsylvania county boards of elections, will not count thousands of timely-received mail ballots submitted for the November 2022 election by otherwise qualified voters based on a meaningless technicality—that the ballots are missing a handwritten date next to their signature on the return envelope, or else that the handwritten date is somehow “wrong.” This refusal to count timely mail ballots submitted by otherwise eligible voters because of a trivial paperwork error violates the Materiality Provision of the Civil Rights Act, which makes it unlawful to deny the right to vote based on an “error or omission” on a voting-related “record or paper” that is “not material in determining whether [a voter] is qualified under State law to vote in [the] election.” 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B). Because mail ballots in Pennsylvania may, under state law, be completed at “any time,” and because their timeliness is determined by when a local county board of elections receives and date-stamps the ballot, the presence or absence of a handwritten date on the envelope is utterly

immaterial to determining whether the ballot was timely received, much less to assessing a voter's qualifications. *See Migliori v. Cohen*, 36 F.4th 153, 160 (3d Cir. 2022), *vacated as moot*, 2022 WL 6571686 (U.S. Oct. 11, 2022).

3. Plaintiffs represent the interests of their combined thousands of members—many of whom are qualified and registered Pennsylvania voters who timely voted by mail-in ballot, and at least some of whom are likely to be directly affected in the 2022 election by Defendants' enforcement of the immaterial envelope-date rule—in ensuring that every valid vote, regardless of political-party alignment, is counted. Plaintiffs' expansive get-out-the-vote and voter education efforts are also burdened, even undermined, by hyper-technical rules that disenfranchise thousands of Pennsylvania voters based on an inconsequential paperwork error.

4. Absent declaratory and injunctive relief from this court, Plaintiffs and their members will suffer irreparable harm.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. Plaintiffs bring this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to enforce the rights guaranteed by 52 U.S.C. § 10101. Alternatively, Plaintiffs bring suit directly under Section 10101 via an implied right of action.

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 1343 (civil rights cases).

7. Declaratory relief is authorized by Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

8. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this district and several defendants conduct business in this district. And venue in the Erie Division is appropriate because the defendants include the boards of elections in Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean, Venango, and Warren Counties. *See* W.D. Pa. LCvR 3.

### **PARTIES**

9. The Pennsylvania State Conference of the NAACP (the State Conference) is a non-profit, non-partisan organization that works to improve the political, educational, social, and economic status of African-Americans and other racial and ethnic minorities, to eliminate racial prejudice, and to take lawful action to secure the elimination of racial discrimination, among other objectives. The State Conference has thousands of members who live and/or work in Pennsylvania, many of whom are registered to vote in Pennsylvania and are at risk of disenfranchisement if Defendants fail to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope.

10. The State Conference advocates for civil rights, including voting rights, for Black Americans, both nationally and in Pennsylvania. Every election cycle, the the State Conference engages in efforts to get out the vote, including by educating Black voters in Pennsylvania on different methods of voting, providing educational guides on local candidates to increase voter engagement, and focusing on strategies to eliminate Black voter suppression both nationally and in Pennsylvania.

11. Defendants' failure to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope will disenfranchise potentially thousands of voters, directly affecting the State Conference's members and interfering with its ability to carry out its mission of increasing voter turnout and participation. Defendants' failure to count such ballots will also cause the State Conference to divert resources in this and future elections from its existing voter education and mobilization efforts towards investigating and educating voters about any available cure processes or to advocate that new processes be developed to ensure that voters who are eligible and registered and who submitted their ballots on time are not disenfranchised by a trivial paperwork mistake.

12. The League of Women Voters of Pennsylvania ("the League") is a nonpartisan statewide non-profit formed in 1920. The League and its members are dedicated to helping the people of Pennsylvania exercise their right to vote, as protected by the law. The League has 2,500 members across Pennsylvania. Members of the League are registered voters in Pennsylvania who regularly vote in state and federal elections, including by mail or absentee ballot. The League's members are at risk of disenfranchisement if Defendants fail to count ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect handwritten date on the return envelope.

13. The League's mission includes voter registration, education, and get-out-the-vote drives. The League conducts voter-registration drives, staffs nonpartisan voter-registration tables, educates incarcerated and formerly incarcerated individuals about their voting rights, and works with local high schools to register

new 18-year-old voters. It also maintains an online database called VOTE411, a nonpartisan and free digital voter resource with information available in both English and Spanish, including voter guides, candidate information, polling rules and locations, and more.

14. Defendants' failure to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope will disenfranchise potentially thousands of voters, thus directly affecting the League's members and interfering with the League's ability to carry out its mission of increasing voter turnout and participation. And both now and especially in future elections, the Defendants' enforcement of the immaterial envelope-date rule will cause the League to divert resources from its existing voter-mobilization and education efforts towards identifying voters who neglected to write the date on the return envelope, educating voters about any available cure processes, and advocating for new cure processes to be developed in real time at the county level. For future elections, the League will be forced to dedicate resources to educating voters about strict compliance with hyper-technical rules of Pennsylvania election law so that voters are not disenfranchised over trivial and immaterial paperwork errors.

15. Philadelphians Organized to Witness, Empower and Rebuild ("POWER") is a Pennsylvania nonprofit founded in 2011 to advance concrete policy changes to transform and strengthen communities. POWER is an organization of more than 100 congregations of various faith traditions, cultures and neighborhoods committed to racial and economic justice on a livable planet. One of its five priority



areas is civic engagement and organizing communities so that the voices of all faiths, races and income levels are counted and have a say in government.

16. POWER engages directly with people who live in the communities that its member congregations serve. Its civic engagement efforts include voter education programs, voter registration drives, information about applying for mail ballots, completing them properly and returning them on time, and “Souls to the Polls” efforts to encourage congregants to vote. In the 2020 election cycle, POWER contacted more than 700,000 voters and plans to reach a similar number in 2022.

17. In the three weeks leading up to this November’s election, POWER has launched a three-week bus tour to promote a vision for building a community in Pennsylvania rooted in inclusivity, diversity and justice. The bus tour has numerous scheduled events, including voter registration canvasses and voter education programs that provide information on mail voting.

18. Because of Defendants’ failure to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope, POWER must divert its limited resources to re-contacting voters to make sure they dated their ballots. Refusing to count votes based on immaterial paperwork errors has a suppressive effect on the communities POWER serves by erecting yet another roadblock preventing them from voting and having their votes counted. In this, as well as future elections, the Defendants’ enforcement of the immaterial envelope-date rule will cause POWER to divert resources from its existing voter-mobilization and

education efforts towards counteracting the disenfranchising effects of the strict enforcement of the envelope-date requirement.

19. Common Cause Pennsylvania (“Common Cause”) is a non-profit political advocacy organization and a chapter of the national Common Cause organization. Common Cause has approximately 36,000 members and supporters in Pennsylvania. These members live in all 67 counties of Pennsylvania, and many members are registered voters in Pennsylvania who are at risk of disenfranchisement if Defendants fail to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope.

20. Common Cause seeks to increase the level of voter registration and voter participation in Pennsylvania elections, especially in communities that are historically underserved and whose populations have a low propensity for voting. Many of these communities are communities of color.

21. In preparation for the statewide election, Common Cause mobilizes hundreds of volunteers to help fellow Pennsylvanians navigate the voting process and cast their votes without obstruction, confusion, or intimidation. Common Cause PA leads the nonpartisan Election Protection volunteer program, which aims to ensure voters have access to the ballot box, provide voters with necessary voting information and answer their questions, quickly identify and correct any problems at polling places, and gather information to identify potential barriers to voting. Because of Defendants’ refusal to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope, Common Cause will be required in

this and future elections to divert resources from its existing efforts towards educating voters about the drastic consequences of failing to comply with a trivial paperwork requirement that was previously understood (including by a panel of federal judges) to be superfluous, and about any available cure processes to prevent the disenfranchisement of its members and other Pennsylvania voters.

22. Black Political Empowerment Project (“B-PEP”) is a non-profit, non-partisan organization that has worked since 1986 to ensure that the Pittsburgh African-American community votes in each and every single election. B-PEP has numerous supporters, of various ages and races, throughout the Pittsburgh Region, working with numerous community organizations to empower Black and brown communities.

23. During every election cycle, B-PEP’s work includes voter registration drives, get-out-the-vote activities, education and outreach about the voting process, and election-protection work. B-PEP focuses these activities in predominantly Black neighborhoods in Allegheny County, with some efforts in Westmoreland and Washington Counties. In preparation for the November 8, 2022, election, B-PEP’s work has included educating its members and voters in predominantly Black communities about the importance of voting, and about how to vote, either in person or by mail. B-PEP’s members include many older voters, who are at particularly high risk of having their ballots disqualified for minor errors, such as omitting the date on the mail-in-ballot-return envelope. B-PEP has an interest in preventing the disenfranchisement of eligible voters who seek to have their votes counted.

24. Make the Road Pennsylvania (“Make the Road PA”) is a not-for-profit, member-led organization formed in 2014 that builds the power of the working-class in Latino and other communities to achieve dignity and justice through organizing, policy innovation, and education services. Make the Road PA’s more than 10,000 members are primarily working-class residents of Pennsylvania, many in underserved communities. Many members of Make the Road PA are registered voters in Pennsylvania and are at risk of disenfranchisement if Defendants fail to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope.

25. Make the Road PA’s work includes voter protection, voter advocacy and voter education on, for example, how to register to vote, how to apply for mail-in/absentee ballots, how to return mail-in/absentee ballots, and where to vote. Make the Road PA has run active programs to register voters in historically underserved communities of color, especially in Berks, Bucks, Lehigh, Northampton and Philadelphia Counties.

26. Defendants’ failure to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope will disenfranchise potentially thousands of voters, thus directly affecting Make the Road’s members and interfering with Make the Road’s ability to carry out its mission of increasing voter turnout and participation. Indeed, because Make the Road’s efforts are focused on communities where some voters are not native English speakers, the risk that some voters may make a minor paperwork mistake in filling out various forms related to

mail or absentee ballot voting is heightened. Defendants' failure to count timely-submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope will also cause Make the Road to divert resources in this and future elections from its existing efforts towards focusing voters on trivial, technical mail ballot rules and towards investigating and educating voters about any available cure processes that might be available for the thousands who will invariably be disenfranchised by a trivial paperwork mistake under Defendants' current policy.

27. Defendant ACTING SECRETARY LEIGH CHAPMAN has the duty “[t]o receive from county boards of elections the returns of primaries and elections, to canvass and compute the votes cast for candidates and upon ballot questions as required by the provisions of this act; to proclaim the results of such primaries and elections, and to issue certificates of election to the successful candidates at such elections.” 25 Pa. Stat. § 2621(f). Defendant Acting Secretary Chapman has issued guidance to county boards of elections that timely-submitted mail-in ballots that are determined to have a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope must be segregated and excluded from tabulation for the 2022 election.

28. Defendant COUNTY BOARDS OF ELECTIONS are county-level executive agencies established under the Pennsylvania Election Code with jurisdiction over the conduct of primaries and elections in each of their respective counties. *See* 25 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 2641. Each elections board defendant manages all aspects of elections in its respective county. *Id.* Their authority includes canvassing and computing the votes cast in each county's election districts and then certifying

the results of each race to Pennsylvania's Secretary of the Commonwealth. *See* 25 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 2642.

## FACTS

### A. Pennsylvania's Mail Ballot Rules

29. Pennsylvania has long provided absentee-ballot options for voters who cannot attend a polling place on election day. *See* 25 P.S. § 3146.1–3146.9. In 2019, Pennsylvania enacted new mail-in voting provisions, which allow all registered, eligible voters to vote by mail. Act of Oct 31, 2019, P.L. 552, No. 77, § 8.

30. A voter seeking to vote by mail must complete an application and have their identity and qualifications verified. The voter must provide their name, address, and proof of identification to their county board of elections. 25 P.S. §§ 3146.2, 3150.12. Such proof of identification may include, among other things, a Pennsylvania driver's license number or the last four digits of the voter's social security number. 25 P.S. § 2602(z.5)(3). As part of the application process, voters provide all the information necessary for county boards of elections to verify that they are qualified to vote in Pennsylvania—namely, that they are at least 18 years old, have been a U.S. citizen for at least one month, have resided in the election district for at least 30 days, and are not incarcerated on a felony conviction. 25 Pa. C.S. § 1301.

31. After the application is submitted, the county board of elections confirms applicants' qualifications by verifying their proof of identification and comparing the information on the application with information contained in a voter's record. 25 P.S.

§§ 3146.2b, 3150.12b; *see also id.* § 3146.8(g)(4).<sup>1</sup> The county board’s determinations on that score are conclusive as to voter eligibility unless challenged prior to Election Day. *Id.* Once the county board verifies the voter’s identity and eligibility, it sends a mail-ballot package that contains a ballot, a “secrecy envelope” marked with the words “Official Election Ballot,” and the pre-addressed outer return envelope, on which a voter declaration form is printed (the “Return Envelope”). *Id.* §§ 3146.6(a), 3150.16(a). Poll books kept by the county show which voters have requested mail ballots and which have returned them. *Id.* §§ 3146.6(b)(3), 3150.16(b)(3).

32. At “any time” after receiving their mail-ballot package, the voter marks their ballot, puts it inside the secrecy envelope, and places the secrecy envelope in the Return Envelope. 25 P.S. §§ 3146.6(a), 3150.16(a). The voter delivers the ballot, in the requisite envelopes, by mail or in person to their county board of elections. To be considered timely, a county board of elections must receive a ballot by 8 p.m. on Election Day. *Id.* §§ 3146.6(c), 3150.16(c). Upon receipt of a mail ballot, county boards of elections stamp the Return Envelope with the date of receipt to confirm its timeliness and log it in the Statewide Uniform Registry of Electors (“SURE”) system, the voter registration system used to generate poll books.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> *See also* Pa. Dep’t of State, *Guidance Concerning Examination of Absentee and Mail-In Ballot Return Envelopes* at 2 (Sept. 11, 2020), <https://www.dos.pa.gov/VotingElections/OtherServicesEvents/Documents/Examination%20of%20Absentee%20and%20Mail-In%20Ballot%20Return%20Envelopes.pdf>.

<sup>2</sup> *See, e.g.,* Pa. Dep’t of State, *Guidance Concerning Examination of Absentee and Mail-In Ballot Return Envelopes* at 2–3 (Sept. 11, 2020).

33. Timely absentee and mail-in ballots that county boards of elections have verified consistent with the procedures set forth in § 3146.8(g)(3), that have not been challenged, and for which there is no proof that the voter died prior to Election Day are counted and included with the election results. *Id.* § 3146.8(d), (g)(4).

34. Pennsylvania’s adoption of universal mail voting has been a boon for voter participation in the Commonwealth. For example, in 2020, 2.7 million Pennsylvanians voted by absentee or mail ballot.<sup>3</sup>

35. Voting in Pennsylvania’s 2022 general election is already underway. Approximately 1.4 million mail ballots were requested, and almost 1 million have already been returned. The pre-canvass and canvass of mail ballots will begin early on Election Day, November 8, 2022. *See* 25 P.S. § 3146.8.

#### **B. Litigation Over the Envelope-Date Requirement**

36. This case involves the instructions regarding the Return Envelope in which a voter places their mail ballot, in particular the direction that a voter “shall ... fill out, date and sign the declaration printed on such envelope.” *See* 25 P.S. §§ 3146.6(a), 3150.16(a). The issue is whether a qualified, registered voter who (1) applies for and obtains a mail ballot, (2) fills it out, places it in the secrecy envelope and the Return Envelope, and signs the declaration on the Return Envelope, and then (3) timely returns the envelope to their local board of elections by 8 p.m. on Election

---

<sup>3</sup> Pa. Dep’t of State, *Report on the 2020 General Election* at 9 (May 14, 2021), <https://www.dos.pa.gov/VotingElections/Documents/2020-General-Election-Report.pdf>. For ease of reference, the term “mail ballots” is used herein to encompass both absentee and mail ballots. The relevant rules governing the treatment of absentee and mail ballots are identical.



Day as confirmed by an official date stamp, may nevertheless have their vote invalidated because they did not add a superfluous handwritten date next to their signature on the Return Envelope.

37. The envelope-dating provision has been the subject of repeated litigation and guidance from the Department of State, including a unanimous Third Circuit panel decision (which was later vacated as moot) that refusing to count ballots on that basis would violate federal law.

**i. *In re Canvass***

38. In 2020, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, in the context of a fast-moving post-election lawsuit, concluded 3-1-3 that otherwise valid mail ballots contained in signed but undated Return Envelopes would be counted in that election. *In re Canvass of Absentee and Mail-In Ballots of Nov. 3, 2020 Gen. Election*, 241 A.3d 1058, 1062 (Pa. 2020).

39. The decision from the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania primarily concerned the construction of state law and did not produce a single majority opinion. But a majority of the Court suggested (albeit without deciding) that invalidating votes for failure to comply with the envelope-dating provision “could lead to a violation of federal law by asking the state to deny the right to vote for immaterial reasons,” contrary to the Materiality Provision. *In re Canvass*, 241 A.3d 1058 at 1074 n.5 (opinion announcing the judgment for three Justices); *id.* at 1089 n.54 (Wecht, J., concurring and dissenting) (expressing similar concern). Indeed, Justice Wecht was

so concerned that he urged the Pennsylvania General Assembly to review the Election Code with “[the Materiality Provision] in mind.” *Id.*

**ii. *Migliori***

40. Earlier this year, a unanimous panel of the Third Circuit concluded that disenfranchising voters based on the envelope-dating provision would violate the Materiality Provision. *Migliori*, 36 F.4th at 162–64; *id.* at 164-66 (Matey, J., concurring).<sup>4</sup>

41. In the 2021 Lehigh County elections, 257 timely-received mail ballots (1% of all mail ballots) were initially excluded based on mail-ballot voters’ inadvertent failure to handwrite a date on the Return Envelope. Three-quarters of the affected voters were over 65 years old, and fifteen of them were older than 90.<sup>5</sup>

42. Consistent with guidance from the Secretary of the Commonwealth, the Lehigh County Board of Elections counted ballots where the Return Envelopes had “wrong” dates on them, *e.g.*, a voter wrote their own birthdate instead of the date they signed the envelope. As the county clerk explained, he did so because state law “doesn’t say what date.”

43. The Lehigh County Board of Elections ultimately voted to count the 257 mail ballots without a date on the outer envelope, explaining, among other reasons, that the voters had made a “technical error,” that there was no question that the

---

<sup>4</sup> The undersigned counsel represented the Plaintiff Voters at all stages of the *Migliori* litigation.

<sup>5</sup> These and other facts from the *Migliori* record are drawn from Joint App’x, *Migliori v. Cohen*, No. 22-1499 (3d Cir.), Dkt.33-2.

ballots were “received on time,” that “the signatures [on the Return Envelopes] match the poll book,” and that the directive on the Return Envelope to include a date was in small print and could have been made “much more visible to the voters.”

44. However, a candidate for County Court of Common Pleas, who was then leading the vote count by less than 257 votes, challenged the county board’s decision in state court. A divided panel of the Commonwealth Court eventually ruled in his favor in a decision that briefly mentioned, but did not resolve, the Materiality Provision issue. *See Ritter v. Lehigh Cnty. Bd. of Elections*, No. 1322 C.D. 2021, 272 A.3d 989 (Tbl.), 2022 WL 16577 (Pa. Commw. Ct. Jan. 3, 2022), *appeal denied*, 271 A.3d 1285 (Pa. 2022).

45. A bipartisan group of voters then sued in federal court. After a district judge dismissed their case on procedural grounds, a unanimous three-judge panel of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit reversed, upholding plaintiffs’ right to have their votes counted under federal law. *See Migliori*, 36 F.4th at 162-64; *see also id.* 164-66 (Matey, J., concurring). The court concluded that because omitting the handwritten date on the Return Envelope was not “material in determining whether [a voter] is qualified to vote under Pennsylvania law,” disenfranchising voters based on that omission violated federal law, namely, the Materiality Provision. *Id.* at 162-63. Judge Matey concurred that the defendants had offered “no evidence, and little argument, that the date requirement for voter declarations under the Pennsylvania Election Code ... is material as defined in § 10101(a)(2)(B).” *Migliori*, 36 F.4th at 165

(Matey, J., concurring). The court ordered Lehigh County to count the 257 mail ballots in undated envelopes.

46. The Court of Common Pleas candidate pressing the appeal, David Ritter, then sought a stay from the U.S. Supreme Court.

47. The Supreme Court denied the stay, with three justices dissenting, thus allowing (indeed, requiring) Lehigh County to count the 257 mail ballots. *See Ritter v. Migliori*, 142 S. Ct. 1824 (2022) (mem.). The 2021 election was then certified with all the ballots counted, which the parties agreed mooted the controversy. The Supreme Court later granted Ritter's request to vacate the Third Circuit's decision as moot, pursuant to *United States v. Munsingwear, Inc.*, 340 U.S. 36 (1950), which the Court did in a short-form order that did not question the correctness of the Third Circuit's decision, *see Ritter v. Migliori*, No. 22-30, 2022 WL 6571686 (U.S. Oct. 11, 2022). Vacatur for mootness is not a merits determination and decisions that have been vacated as moot are still "persuasive" authority. *See Polychrome Int'l Corp. v. Krigger*, 5 F.3d 1522, 1534 (3d Cir. 1993).

**iii. *McCormick and Berks County***

48. After the Third Circuit's *Migliori* decision, the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania twice held that such mail ballots must be counted as a matter of both state and federal law in suits arising out of the 2022 primary. *Chapman v. Berks Cnty. Bd. of Elections*, No. 355 M.D. 2022, 2022 WL 4100998, at \*12–\*29 (Pa. Commw. Ct. Aug. 19, 2022); *McCormick for U.S. Senate v. Chapman*, No. 286 M.D. 2022, 2022 WL 2900112, at \*9–\*15 (Pa. Commw. Ct. June 2, 2022). These decisions agreed with

the *Migliori* panel that the federal Materiality Provision required that result. *See, e.g., Berks Cnty.*, 2022 WL 4100998, at \*12-\*29 (concluding that “the failure of an elector to handwrite a date on the declaration on the return envelope does not relate to the timeliness of the ballot or the qualification of the elector”).

49. Consistent with those decisions, the Secretary of the Commonwealth advised counties in the months leading up to the 2022 election to count otherwise valid and timely-received mail ballots even where voters omitted a handwritten date, or wrote a plainly wrong date like a birthdate, on the Return Envelope.<sup>6</sup> The Secretary reaffirmed that guidance after the U.S. Supreme Court vacated on mootness grounds the Third Circuit’s *Migliori* decision.<sup>7</sup>

**iv. *Ball v. Chapman***

50. On October 16, 2022, less than a week after the vacatur of the *Migliori* decision, and with voting in the 2022 election already underway, a group of partisan petitioners brought a King’s Bench petition in the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania seeking to invalidate mail ballots with no handwritten date on the Return Envelope or with an “incorrect” handwritten date on the Return Envelope.

---

<sup>6</sup> *See* Pa. Dep’t of State, *Guidance Concerning Examination of Absentee and Mail-in Ballot Return Envelopes* (Sept. 26, 2022), <https://www.dos.pa.gov/VotingElections/OtherServicesEvents/Documents/2022-09-26-Examination-Absentee-Mail-In-Ballot-Return-Envelopes-3.0.pdf> (advising county boards of elections to “include[] in the canvass and pre-canvass ... [a]ny ballot-return envelope that is undated or dated with an incorrect date but has been timely received”).

<sup>7</sup> *See* Pennsylvania Pressroom, *Acting Secretary of State Issues Statement on SCOTUS Order on Undated Mail Ballots* (Oct. 11, 2022), <https://www.media.pa.gov/Pages/State-details.aspx?newsid=536>.

51. On November 1, 2022, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania issued an order stating that the mail ballots at issue should be segregated and not counted, but indicating that the Court, which currently has only six justices, was deadlocked on whether the federal Materiality Provision prohibited disenfranchising voters on that basis.

52. Following that decision, on November 1, 2022, the Department of State's Deputy Secretary for Elections and Commissions Jonathan Marks sent an email to counties advising elections officials of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania's order to "refrain from counting any absentee and mail-in ballots received for the November 8, 2022 general election that are contained in undated or incorrectly dated outer envelopes," and to "**segregate** and **preserve** any ballots contained in undated or incorrectly dated outer envelopes." Deputy Secretary Marks instructed that the elections officials "**must remember to do two things** as [they] pre-canvass and canvass absentee and mail-in ballots: Segregate AND preserve these undated and incorrectly dated ballots; and Do not count the votes cast on ballots with undated or incorrectly dated ballots." A true and correct copy of the email is attached as Ex. A (all emphasis in original email).

53. On November 3, Acting Secretary Chapman issued new guidance, instructing counties that "ballots which are administratively determined to be undated or incorrectly dated" should be coded as "CANC – NO SIGNATURE within the SURE system" (i.e., should be cancelled and not accepted) and "segregated from other ballots." A true and correct copy of the guidance is attached as Ex. B.

54. Voters—likely including Plaintiffs’ members—will be disenfranchised when Defendants fail to count their ballots based on a missing or incorrect date on the outer return envelope.

55. Litigation over the past year has demonstrated that it is Pennsylvania voters who will lose unless this Court enjoins Defendants from disqualifying timely submitted ballots from eligible voters simply because they omitted a meaningless date, or wrote the wrong date, on the Return Envelope. For example, the Plaintiffs in *Migliori* were senior citizens who had voted in Lehigh County for decades. They were Republicans and Democrats alike. Like thousands of Plaintiffs’ members, they were regular people—a foundry blaster, a teacher, a business owner—who vote in almost every election. They filled out their mail ballots, sent them in on time, and signed the declaration on the Return Envelope, but made a mistake on the Return Envelope by omitting a handwritten date.

56. The Materiality Provision requires that their votes be counted. The impending disenfranchisement of these voters constitutes irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law and for which this Court’s intervention is required.

### **CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

#### **Count I: Rejection of Ballots for Immaterial Paperwork Errors or Omissions in Violation of the Materiality Provision of the Civil Rights Act (52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B), 42 U.S.C. § 1983)**

57. Plaintiffs rely upon all the paragraphs of this Complaint, which are incorporated into this Count I as if fully restated here.

58. The Materiality Provision of the Civil Rights Act prohibits disqualifying voters “because of an error or omission on any record or paper relating to any application, registration, or other act requisite to voting, if such error or omission is not material in determining whether such individual is qualified under State law to vote in such election.” 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B) (formerly codified at 42 U.S.C. § 1971).

59. The Civil Rights Act directs that “vote” in this context means “all action necessary to make a vote effective including, but not limited to, registration or other action required by State law prerequisite to voting, casting a ballot, and having such ballot counted and included in the appropriate totals of votes cast with respect to candidates for public office and propositions for which votes are received in an election.” *Id.* § 10101(a)(3)(A), (e).

60. The challenged conduct here tracks exactly what the statute forbids: denying voters the right to have their ballot “counted and included in the appropriate totals of votes cast” based on an immaterial paperwork error on a form made requisite to voting. Specifically, Defendants are poised to invalidate voters’ mail ballots:

- (1) based on an “omission” (namely, leaving off the handwritten date) or an “error” (namely, writing a purportedly incorrect date);
- (2) on a “record or paper” that is “made requisite to voting” (namely, the form declaration printed on the outer Return Envelope);
- (3) that is immaterial to whether the voter “is qualified under State law to vote in [the] election,” or for that matter on whether the mail ballot was timely



received (namely, because the handwritten date on the envelope has no bearing on whether a voter meets the age, residency, or citizenship and felony status requirements of state law, or whether the county received the ballot on time.

52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B).

61. In Pennsylvania, state law establishes the only “qualifications” needed to “be entitled to vote at all elections.” *See* Pa. Const. Art. VII, § 1. In particular, a voter must be at least 18 years old, have been a U.S. citizen for at least one month, have resided in the election district for at least 30 days, and is not presently incarcerated on a felony conviction. 25 Pa. C.S. § 1301.

62. A voter’s failure to handwrite the date next to their signature on the ballot return envelope is not material to determining their qualification to vote. Indeed, as set forth *supra*, Pennsylvania law requires each mail-in voter to demonstrate eligibility and qualification to vote before the voter is even issued a mail-in ballot in the first place. *See* 25 P.S. §§ 3146.2, 3150.12.

63. The date on which a voter signed their return envelope is also immaterial to determining the timeliness of the voter’s ballot. Because a ballot’s timeliness under Pennsylvania law is determined by when it was received and stamped by the county board of elections, 25 P.S. §§ 3146.6(c), 3150.16(c), the date and time at which mail ballots are returned is objectively verifiable—regardless of what, if any, date the voter wrote on the return envelope. *Accord Migliori*, 36 F.4th

at 164 (“Upon receipt, the [Board] timestamped the ballots, rendering whatever date was written on the ballot superfluous and meaningless.”).

64. The rejection of otherwise-valid ballots for immaterial errors or omissions on voting-related paperwork is contrary to the Materiality Provision of the Civil Rights Act, 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B), and will result in the disenfranchisement of Pennsylvania voters who submitted timely mail-in ballots in the 2022 election and all future elections, unless and until enjoined by this Court.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and provide the following relief:

1. Declaration that rejecting timely submitted mail-in ballots based solely on a missing or incorrect date next to the voter’s signature on the return envelope violates the Materiality Provision of the Civil Rights Act, 52 U.S.C. § 10101(a)(2)(B).
2. Injunctive relief preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants and all persons acting on their behalf from:
  - a. Rejecting or otherwise not counting the otherwise-valid mail-in ballots timely submitted by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day based solely on a missing or incorrect date on the return envelope; and
  - b. Certifying the 2022 election in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania or any Pennsylvania county or any subsequent election without counting such mail-in ballots.
3. Award costs and attorneys’ fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988; and

4. Grant such other relief as this Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: November 4, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Ari J. Savitzky\*  
Megan C. Keenan\*  
Sophia Lin Lakin\*  
Adriel I. Cepeda Derieux\*  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION  
125 Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
Tel.: (212) 549-2500  
asavitzky@aclu.org  
mkeenana@aclu.org  
slakin@aclu.org  
acepedaderieux@aclu.org

*\* Pro hac vice application  
forthcoming*

/s/ Witold J. Walczak  
Witold J. Walczak (PA 62976)  
Richard T. Ting (PA 200438)  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF  
PENNSYLVANIA  
P.O. Box 23058  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
Tel: (412) 681-7736  
vwalczak@aclupa.org  
rting@aclupa.org

Marian K. Schneider (PA 50337)  
Stephen Loney (PA 202535)  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF  
PENNSYLVANIA  
P.O. Box 60173  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
mschneider@aclupa.org  
sloney@aclupa.org

*Counsel for the Pennsylvania State  
Conference of the NAACP, League of  
Women Voters of Pennsylvania,  
Philadelphians Organized to Witness,  
Empower and Rebuild, Common Cause  
Pennsylvania, Black Political  
Empowerment Project, and Make the  
Road Pennsylvania*

## EXHIBIT A

**From:** Marks, Jonathan <[jmarks@pa.gov](mailto:jmarks@pa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 1, 2022 6:50 PM  
**To:** Marks, Jonathan <[jmarks@pa.gov](mailto:jmarks@pa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Important DOS Email re: Undated Ballots  
**Importance:** High

Dear county election official,

Please be advised that the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania issued the attached order this afternoon directing county boards of elections to “refrain from counting any absentee and mail-in ballots received for the November 8, 2022 general election that are contained in undated or incorrectly dated outer envelopes.” The Court also directed that counties “**segregate** and **preserve** any ballots contained in undated or incorrectly dated outer envelopes.”

This order means that you **must remember to do two things** as you pre-canvass and canvass absentee and mail-in ballots:

1. Segregate AND preserve these undated and incorrectly dated ballots; and
2. Do not count the votes cast on ballots with undated or incorrectly dated ballots

Warmest regards,

**Jonathan Marks** | Deputy Secretary for Elections and Commissions (*he, him, his*)

Office of the Secretary

302 North Office Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120

Office: 717.787.6458 Direct: 717.783.2035

[dos.pa.gov](http://dos.pa.gov) | [vote.pa.gov](http://vote.pa.gov) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)

**[J-85-2022]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
MIDDLE DISTRICT**

DAVID BALL, JAMES D. BEE, JESSE D. : No. 102 MM 2022  
DANIEL, GWENDOLYN MAE DELUCA, :  
ROSS M. FARBER, LYNN MARIE :  
KALCEVIC, VALLERIE SICILIANO- :  
BIANCANIELLO, S. MICHAEL STREIB, :  
REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE, :  
NATIONAL REPUBLICAN :  
CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE, AND :  
REPUBLICAN PARTY OF PENNSYLVANIA, :

Petitioners

v.

LEIGH M. CHAPMAN, IN HER OFFICIAL :  
CAPACITY AS ACTING SECRETARY OF :  
THE COMMONWEALTH, AND ALL 67 :  
COUNTY BOARDS OF ELECTIONS, :

Respondents

**PER CURIAM**

**DECIDED: November 1, 2022**

**AND NOW**, this 1<sup>st</sup> day of November, 2022, upon review of the briefs of the parties and *amici*, the Petitioners' request for injunctive and declaratory relief is granted in part and denied in part. The Pennsylvania county boards of elections are hereby **ORDERED** to refrain from counting any absentee and mail-in ballots received for the November 8, 2022 general election that are contained in undated or incorrectly dated outer envelopes. See 25 P.S. §3146.6(a) and §3150.16(a).

The Court is evenly divided on the issue of whether failing to count such ballots violates 52 U.S.C. §10101(a)(2)(B).

We hereby **DIRECT** that the Pennsylvania county boards of elections segregate and preserve any ballots contained in undated or incorrectly dated outer envelopes.

The Republican National Committee, the National Republican Congressional Committee, and the Republican Party of Pennsylvania have standing. Petitioners David Ball, James D. Bee, Jesse D. Daniel, Gwendolyn Mae Deluca, Ross M. Farber, Lynn Marie Kalcevic, Vallerie Siciliano-Biancaniello, and S. Michael Streib are hereby **DISMISSED** from the case for lack of standing.

Opinions to follow.

Chief Justice Todd and Justices Donohue and Wecht would find a violation of federal law.

Justices Dougherty, Mundy and Brobson would find no violation of federal law.

**EXHIBIT B**

**TLP:WHITE**



**Guidance on Undated and Incorrectly Dated  
Mail-in and Absentee Ballot Envelopes Based on  
the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's Order in *Ball  
v. Chapman*, issued November 1, 2022**

Date: November 3, 2022

Version: 1.0

**Guidance on Undated and Incorrectly Dated Mail-in and Absentee Ballot Envelopes Based on the Pennsylvania Supreme Court’s Order in *Ball v. Chapman*, issued November 1, 2022**

On November 1, 2022, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court issued an Order regarding undated and incorrectly dated outer envelopes containing mail-in and absentee ballots. A copy of that Order is attached. This email follows an initial communication from Deputy Secretary Jonathan Marks on the evening of November 1, 2022 and provides additional guidance to counties regarding the Court’s Order.

In light of the Court’s Order, the Department’s September 26, 2022 Guidance Concerning Examination of Absentee and Mail-In Return Envelopes (“Envelope Guidance”) and Guidance Concerning Civilian Absentee and Mail-In Ballot Procedures as it relates to undated and incorrectly dated outer envelopes is modified as stated below and counties are directed as follows:

- Returned ballots should be scanned into the SURE system immediately upon receipt. County election offices should ensure that previously received mail-in and absentee ballots have been scanned into SURE.
  - As a reminder, election offices should date-stamp return envelopes for all mail-in and absentee ballots immediately upon receipt.
- Examine all mail-in and absentee ballots received to determine if the return envelopes for those ballots are signed and dated.
- For ballots which are administratively determined to be undated or incorrectly dated, code that ballot as CANC – NO SIGNATURE within the SURE system.
- Further, for those ballots that have been administratively determined to be undated or incorrectly dated, the ballots must be segregated from other ballots. Counties may prefer to keep segregated undated and incorrectly dated ballots organized by precinct, and alphabetically by last name within each precinct.
  - The department strongly recommends that counties also segregate into separate groups undated ballots versus incorrectly dated ballots.
- For voters returning their ballots in person to election offices, office personnel should remind voters to confirm that they signed and correctly dated their ballots, and to provide them an opportunity to do so prior to submission.

###

Version	Date	Description
1.0	11/3/22	Original issue



CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

See Attachment

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

DEFENDANTS

See Attachment

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, PTF DEF, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

Table with columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES. Includes codes like 110 Insurance, 310 Airplane, 365 Personal Injury, etc.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District, 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 42 U.S.C. § 1983; 52 U.S.C. § 10101

Brief description of cause: Violation of federal law for refusal to count voters' ballots simply because return envelope is undated or has incorrect date

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P., DEMAND \$ 0, CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 11/04/2022 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Witold J. Walczak

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

JS 44A REVISED June, 2009  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

**PART A**

This case belongs on the (  Erie  Johnstown  Pittsburgh) calendar.

1. **ERIE CALENDAR** - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean, Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
2. **JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR** - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
3. Complete if on **ERIE CALENDAR**: I certify that the cause of action arose in Erie and all PA counties County and that the defendant Erie County Bd. of Elections resides in Erie County.
4. Complete if on **JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR**: I certify that the cause of action arose in \_\_\_\_\_ County and that the \_\_\_\_\_ resides in \_\_\_\_\_ County.

**PART B** (You are to check ONE of the following)

1.  This case is related to Number \_\_\_\_\_ . Short Caption \_\_\_\_\_
2.  This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.

DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:

**CIVIL:** Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit

**EMINENT DOMAIN:** Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related.

**HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS:** All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.

**PART C**

I. CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).

1.  Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
2.  Labor-Management Relations
3.  Habeas corpus
4.  Civil Rights
5.  Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
6.  Eminent Domain
7.  All other federal question cases
8.  All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
9.  Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.
10.  Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct

/s/ Witold J. Walczak

Date: 11/04/2022

ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH FORMS MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

**Civil Cover Sheet Attachment**

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**

Pennsylvania State Conference of the NAACP; League of Women Voters of Pennsylvania; Philadelphians Organized to Witness, Empower and Rebuild; Common Cause Pennsylvania; Black Political Empowerment Project; and Make the Road Pennsylvania

**(b)** County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff: Montgomery

**(c)** Attorneys

Witold J. Walczak (PA I.D. No. 62976)  
Richard T. Ting (PA I.D. No. 200438)  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF PENNSYLVANIA  
P.O. Box 23058  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
Tel: (412) 681-7736  
vwalczak@aclupa.org  
rting@aclupa.org

Marian K. Schneider (PA I.D. No. 50337)  
Stephen Loney (PA I.D. No. 202535)  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF PENNSYLVANIA  
P.O. Box 60173  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
mschneider@aclupa.org  
sloney@aclupa.org

Ari J. Savitzky\*  
Megan C. Keenan\*  
Sophia Lin Lakin\*  
Adriel I. Cepeda Derieux\*  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION  
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
Tel.: (212) 549-2500  
asavitzky@aclu.org  
mkeenan@aclu.org  
slakin@aclu.org  
acepedaderieux@aclu.org

*\* Pro hac vice application forthcoming*

**(a) DEFENDANTS**

Leigh M. Chapman, in her official capacity as Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth, Adams County Board of Elections, Allegheny County Board of Elections, Armstrong County Board of Elections, Beaver County Board of Elections, Bedford County Board of Elections, Berks County Board of Elections, Blair County Board of Elections, Bradford County Board of Elections, Bucks County Board of Elections, Butler County Board of Elections, Cambria County Board of Elections, Cameron County Board of Elections, Carbon County Board of Elections, Centre County Board of Elections, Chester County Board of Elections, Clarion County Board of Elections, Clearfield County Board of Elections, Clinton County Board of Elections, Columbia County Board of Elections, Crawford County Board of Elections, Cumberland County Board of Elections, Dauphin County Board of Elections, Delaware County Board of Elections, Elk County Board of Elections, Erie County Board of Elections, Fayette County Board of Elections, Forest County Board of Elections, Franklin County Board of Elections, Fulton County Board of Elections, Greene County Board of Elections, Huntingdon County Board of Elections, Indiana County Board of Elections, Jefferson County Board of Elections, Juniata County Board of Elections, Lackawanna County Board of Elections, Lancaster County Board of Elections, Lawrence County Board of Elections, Lebanon County Board of Elections, Lehigh County Board of Elections, Luzerne County Board of Elections, Lycoming County Board of Elections, McKean County Board of Elections, Mercer County Board of Elections, Mifflin County Board of Elections, Monroe County Board of Elections, Montgomery County Board of Elections, Montour County Board of Elections, Northampton County Board of Elections, Northumberland County Board of Elections, Perry County Board of Elections, Philadelphia County Board of Elections, Pike County Board of Elections, Potter County Board of Elections, Schuylkill County Board of Elections, Snyder County Board of Elections, Somerset County Board of Elections, Sullivan County Board of Elections, Susquehanna County Board of Elections, Tioga County Board of Elections, Union County Board of Elections, Venango County Board of Elections, Warren County Board of Elections, Washington County Board of Elections, Wayne County Board of Elections, Westmoreland County Board of Elections, Wyoming County Board of Elections, and York County Board of Elections.

**(b) County for Residence of First Listed Defendant: Dauphin**